Office of Justice Programs
National Institute of Justice





# National Law Enforcement and Corrections Technology Center

October 1996

National Institute of Justice Jeremy Travis, Director

## Michigan State Police Tests 1997 Patrol Vehicles

The National Law Enforcement and Corrections Technology Center (NLECTC), of the National Institute of Justice (NIJ), provides law enforcement agencies with practical information on equipment and technology. A pioneer in researching new technologies, NIJ, through NLECTC, encourages and helps agencies to maximize their budgets, ensure reliability of product performance, and safeguard their employees. The advancements that emerge from the annual Michigan State Police Patrol Vehicle Tests validate the success of these efforts.

Every year, the Michigan State Police (MSP) tests new patrol vehicles as part of its procurement policy. This year, from September 21 through 23, the MSP tested six special service package cars (two Camaros, two Cherokees, and two Explorers) and five police patrol package cars. This NLECTC bulletin contains a synopsis of the test results; a detailed report is also available.

Table 1 **Tests and scoring** 

Test	Points
Vehicle dynamics	30
Acceleration	20
Top speed	15
Braking	20
Ergonomics and communications	10
Fuel economy	5
Total	100

Table 2 Vehicles tested

Vehicle		Engine	
Chevrolet Camaro (automatic)		5.7L (350 cid)	SFI
Chevrolet Camaro (6-speed man	ual)	5.7L (350 cid)	SFI
Chevrolet Lumina		3.1L (191 cid)	SFI
Chevrolet Tahoe (2-wheel drive)		5.7L (350 cid)	SFI
Chrysler Jeep Cherokee (2-whee	l drive)	4.0L (242 cid)	PFI
Chrysler Jeep Cherokee (4-whee	l drive)	4.0L (242 cid)	PFI
Ford Crown Victoria		4.6L (281 cid)	PFI
Ford Crown Victoria (CNG)		4.6L (281 cid)	PFI
Ford Explorer (4-wheel drive)		5.0L (302 cid)	PFI
Ford Explorer (4-wheel drive)		4.0L (245 cid)	PFI
Volvo 850 Turbo		2.3L (142 cid)	PFI
cid = Cubic inch displacement PFI = Multiport fuel injection	CNG = Compressed na SFI = Sequential port fu	•	L = Liter

Each vehicle is subjected to six major tests and evaluations. The results are weighted to reflect the relative importance of each attribute as related to MSP operational requirements. Table 1 lists the tests and point scores.

MSP scores each vehicle's overall performance, reviews the manufacturer's bid price, and calculates a final score for each vehicle using a sophisticated formula that combines the overall performance score and the manufacturer's price.

It should be noted that the MSP vehicle specifications, test categories, and scoring reflect MSP needs. If your department employs this or a similar method, consider your own needs carefully and alter the

weighting factors accordingly. Table 2 lists the vehicles alphabetically.

### Vehicle dynamics testing

Objective: To determine high-speed pursuit handling characteristics. The 1.635-mile road racing course contains hills, curves, and corners; except for the absence of traffic, it simulates actual pursuit conditions. The evaluation measures each vehicle's blending of suspension components, acceleration capabilities, and braking characteristics.

Methodology: Each vehicle is driven at least 12 timed laps by at least three drivers. The final score is the average of the fastest of at least 9 timed laps.

Table 3
Results of vehicle dynamics testing

Make/Model	Average*
Chevrolet Camaro (automatic) 5.7L SFI	1:19.75
Chevrolet Camaro (6-speed manual) 5.7L SFI	1:20.36
Chevrolet Lumina 3.1L SFI	1:30.06
Chevrolet Tahoe (2-wheel drive) 5.7L SFI	1:26.25
Chrysler Jeep Cherokee (2-wheel drive) 4.0L PFI	1:24.84
Chrysler Jeep Cherokee (4-wheel drive) 4.0L PFI	1:25.95
Ford Crown Victoria 4.6L PFI	1:25.97
Ford Crown Victoria (CNG) 4.6L PFI	1:29.44
Ford Explorer 5.0L PFI (4-wheel drive)	1:29.35
Ford Explorer 4.0L PFI (4-wheel drive)	1:29.46
Volvo 850 Turbo 2.3L PFI	1:23.41

**NOTE:** Times are in minutes, seconds, and hundredths of a second; i.e., 1:29.74 = 1 minute, 29 seconds, and 74/100 of a second.

Table 3 shows the average results of the vehicle dynamics test.

# Acceleration and top-speed testing

#### Acceleration

Qualification test objective: To determine the ability of each vehicle to accelerate from a standing start to 60 mph within 10.0 seconds, 80 mph within 17.2 seconds, and 100 mph within 28.2 seconds.

Competitive test objective: To determine acceleration time to 100 mph.

Methodology: Using a Datron noncontact optical sensor, in conjunction with a personal computer, each vehicle is driven through four acceleration sequences—two northbound and two southbound to allow for wind direction. The average of the four times is used to derive scores on the competitive test.

#### Top speed

Qualification test objective: To determine the vehicle's ability to reach 110 mph within 1 mile, and 120 mph within 2 miles.

Competitive test objective: To determine the actual top speed obtained within 14 miles from a standing start.

Methodology: Following the fourth acceleration run, the vehicle continues to accelerate to the top speed attainable within 14 miles from the start of the run. The highest speed attained within the 14 miles is the vehicle's score on the competitive test. Table 4 summarizes the acceleration and top-speed tests.

### **Braking test**

Qualification test objective: To determine the acceptability of each vehicle's braking performance for pursuit service. The ability of the vehicle to make a panic stop within its own lane and evidence of brake fade are evaluated, as well as the ability to achieve an average score of 25.0 ft/sec<sup>2</sup> on two impending stops (threshold stops from 60 mph).

Competitive test objective: To determine the deceleration rate on two 60-to-0 mph

Table 4

Results of acceleration and top-speed testing

Speed	Chemon of the Company	Cheviolet SA (5,000 Cheviolet SA (5,000 Cheviolet SA) 5,7,800 Cheviolet SA	Chemose 1.	Cheurole Taho		Chysler L	Ford Com. P.	Conc. Com.	1 4 61 11 VICTORIA FOTO E PET ONIA (4 m. Exp.)	Med Gives Ford Exp. (4 M. Exp.)	100,000 PH	00p. /.
0 – 20	1.56	1.75	2.21	1.85	2.00	1.98	2.08	2.81	2.20	1.96	2.04	
0 – 30	2.51	2.63	3.69	3.13	3.35	3.35	3.51	4.81	3.69	3.39	3.16	
0 – 40	3.57	3.68	5.44	4.85	4.83	4.91	5.36	6.83	5.48	5.03	4.37	
0 – 50	4.80	5.01	8.08	6.79	7.06	7.23	7.48	9.26	7.89	6.96	6.02	
0 – 60	6.40	6.51	11.09	9.42	9.50	9.88	10.05	12.51	10.60	9.40	8.02	
0 – 70	8.15	8.58	14.69	12.74	12.49	13.16	13.20	16.36	14.17	12.52	10.06	
0 – 80	10.26	10.70	19.74	16.54	17.45	18.59	17.63	20.82	19.33	16.25	12.88	
0 – 90	13.09	13.31	26.95	21.29	23.28	24.48	22.66	27.58	25.65	22.32	16.59	
0 – 100	16.21	16.33	36.11	28.90	31.37	35.53	28.99	37.70	43.13	30.15	20.64	
Top Speed in mph	156	157	113	121	111	111	129	107	113	106	145	

<sup>\*</sup>Average time for fastest 12 laps.

impending skid stops. Vehicles are scored on their average deceleration rate attained in comparison with the other vehicles in the test group.

Methodology: Each vehicle is first required to make four decelerations at 22 feet per second squared from 90-to-0 mph, with the driver using a decelerometer to maintain the deceleration rate. The vehicle then makes a 60-to-0 mph impending skid.

The exact initial velocity at the beginning of the deceleration and the exact distance required to make the stop are recorded by means of a fifth wheel with electronic digital speed and distance meters. From these figures, the average deceleration rate for the stops is calculated. Following a 4-minute cooling period, this sequence is repeated. The second sequence is followed by one 60-to-0 mph panic stop to determine the ability of the vehicle to stop in a straight line within its lane and to detect evidence of brake fade.

Table 5 shows the results of the braking test.

## Ergonomics and communications

Objectives: To rate the vehicle's ability to provide a suitable environment for patrol officers to perform their job, to accommodate the required communications and emergency warning equipment, and to assess the relative difficulty of installing the equipment.

Methodology: A minimum of four officers independently and individually score each vehicle on comfort and instrumentation. Personnel from the Motor Transport Division, Police Car Prep Section, conduct the communications portion of the evaluation based on the relative difficulty of the necessary installations. Each factor is graded on a 1-to-10 scale, with 1 representing totally unacceptable and 10 representing superior. The scores are averaged to minimize personal prejudice. Table 6

shows the results of the ergonomics and communications test. (Only one of each model was tested since the interior dimensions are essentially the same.)

### Fuel economy

Objective: To determine fuel economy potential. The scoring data are valid and reliable for comparison but may not necessarily be an accurate prediction of the car's actual fuel economy.

Methodology: The vehicles are scored based on estimates for city fuel economy to the nearest 1/10th mile per gallon developed from data supplied by the vehicle manufacturers. Table 7 shows the estimated EPA fuel economy.

If you would like a copy of the full report, write or call the National Law Enforcement and Corrections Technology Center, Box 1160, Rockville, MD 20849–1160, 800–248–2742, or 301–251–5060.

Table 5
Results of braking test

	Cheuroler C	Chemonicamaro Chemolosza Sci 3.10. Cert.	Choroles 24	5.7 59 911.00 Chysler 50 (2 12.00)	Chr. Sel Che Che Che Chr. Chr. Che Che Ch. Ch. Che Ch.	Ford Com. 10 Checker (100 of Checker) (1	Food Comments	50 0 Expos	Ford Explosion PET	Louce of the Property of the P	(Wb. 23/p.
Phase I Initial speed (mph)	60.00	60.70	60.20	60.30	60.20	60.80	60.30	60.50	60.30	60.40	/
Stopping distance (ft)	136.40	160.00	163.60	142.50	146.50	139.20	151.80	155.10	163.20	144.60	
Deceleration rate (ft/sec sqd)	28.39	24.77	23.84	27.45	26.61	28.56	25.76	25.38	23.96	27.14	
Phase II											
Initial speed (mph)	59.40	60.90	60.70	60.10	59.70	60.10	60.60	60.30	60.30	60.40	
Stopping distance (ft)	135.70	158.70	164.20	144.10	150.80	137.40	157.20	151.10	159.60	143.30	
Deceleration rate (ft/sec sqd)	27.97	25.14	24.14	26.96	25.42	28.28	25.13	25.88	24.50	27.38	
Average Deceleration Rate (ft/sec sqd)	28.18	24.96	23.99	27.21	26.02	28.42	25.45	25.63	24.23	27.26	
Stopping distance from 60 mph based on average deceleration rate (ft)	137.4	155.1	161.4	142.3	148.8	136.2	152.1	151.1	159.8	142.0	

All vehicles have anti-locking brake systems.

Table 6
Results of ergonomics and communications test

Vehicle	Score*
Chevrolet Camaro	157.67
Chevrolet Lumina	184.03
Chevrolet Tahoe	218.16
Chrysler Jeep Cherokee	181.89
Ford Crown Victoria	190.56
Ford Crown Victoria (CNG)	187.53
Ford Explorer	193.37
Volvo 850 Turbo	162.91

<sup>\*</sup> Scores are the total points the automobile received for each of 29 attributes the MSP considers important in determining the acceptability of the vehicle as a patrol car—for example, front seat adjustability, clarity of instrumentation, and visibility front and back. The higher the number, the better the vehicle scored.

Table 7
Fuel economy

Make/Model	City EPA miles per gallon			
Chevrolet Camaro (automatic) 5.7L (350 cid) SFI	17.0			
Chevrolet Camaro (6-speed manual) 5.7L (350 cid) SFI	16.4			
Chevrolet Lumina 3.1L (191 cid) SFI	19.6			
Chevrolet Tahoe (2-wheel drive) 5.7L (350 cid) SFI	13.5			
Chrysler Jeep Cherokee (2-wheel drive) 4.0L (242 cid) F	PFI 15.5			
Chrysler Jeep Cherokee (4-wheel drive) 4.0L (242 cid) F	PFI 14.9			
Ford Crown Victoria 4.6L (281 cid) PFI	16.2			
Ford Crown Victoria (CNG) 4.6L (281 cid) PFI*	17.3			
Ford Explorer (4-wheel drive) 5.0L (302 cid) PFI	13.5			
Ford Explorer (4-wheel drive) 4.0L (245 cid) PFI	15.1			
Volvo 2.3L 850 Turbo (142 cid) PFI	19.2			

<sup>\*</sup> EPA mileage estimate is in gasoline equivalent.

The National Law Enforcement and Corrections Technology Center is supported by Cooperative Agreement #96–IJ–CX–K001 awarded by the U.S. Department of Justice, National Institute of Justice.

The National Institute of Justice is a component of the Office of Justice Programs, which also includes the Bureau of Justice Assistance, Bureau of Justice Statistics, Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, and Office for Victims of Crime.

#### U.S. Department of Justice

Office of Justice Programs

National Institute of Justice

Washington, DC 20531

Official Business Penalty for Private Use \$300 BULK RATE
POSTAGE & FEES PAID
DOJ/NIJ
Permit No. G-91