11/28/83

National Criminal Justice Reference Service

# ncjrs

This microfiche was produced from documents received for inclusion in the NCJRS data base. Since NCJRS cannot exercise control over the physical condition of the documents submitted, the individual frame quality will vary. The resolution chart on this frame may be used to evaluate the document quality.



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

Microfilming procedures used to create this fiche comply with the standards set forth in 41CFR 101-11.504.

Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the author(s) and do not represent the official position or policies of the U. S. Department of Justice.

National Institute of Justice United States Department of Justice Washington, D. C. 20531



James K. Stewart **Acting Director** National Institute of Justice

# **ADVISORY BOARD OF THE** NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF JUSTICE

Chairman **Dean William Roach** Pennsylvania Crime Commission

Vice Chairman Bishop L. Robinson **Deputy Police Commissioner** Baltimore, Maryland

**Donald Baldwin** Washington, D.C., Executive Director of the National Law Enforcement Council

Pierce R. Brooks Consultant and Author on Law Enforcement

Chief Leo F. Callahan **First Vice President** International Association of Chiefs of Police

James Duke Cameron Chief Justice Arizona Supreme Court

Frank Carrington Victim's Assistance Legal Organization

**Donald L. Collins** Attorney Former Member of Alabama Legislature

Harold Daitch Attorney, New York City

Gavin De Becker Security and Safety Consultant George D. Haimbaugh, Jr. University of South Carolina Law School

Samuel Hirsch New York State Assembly

Richard L. Jorandby **Public Defender** Fifteenth Judicial Circuit of Florida

Kenneth L. Khachigian Public Affairs Consultant and Former Special Consultant to the President

21

Mitch McConnell Judge and County Executive Jefferson County, Kentucky

Frank F. Richardson California Supreme Court

James B. Roche Massachusetts State Police

**Robert Wientzen Procter & Gamble Company** 

# **Technology Assessment Program Information Center**

Justice

Pı U

Further reproduction outside of the NCJRS system requires permission of the convrient owner.

National Institute of Justice Office of Development, Testing, and Dissemination

# 1983 Model Year Patrol Vehicle Testing

## October 1982

#### **U.S.** Department of Justice National Institute of Justice

This document has been reproduced exactly as received from the person or organization originating it. Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the National Institute

Permission to reproduce this copy ed material has beer granted by

ubli	LC Domain/LI	SAA,	NIJ
.s.	Department	of	Justice

to the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS).

**U.S.** Department of Justice

Conducted by the

# **MICHIGAN STATE POLICE** EAST LANSING, MICHIGAN **COLONEL GERALD L. HOUGH, DIRECTOR**

Reprinted by the

**TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT PROGRAM INFORMATION CENTER** (TAPIC) **RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT DIVISION** INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF CHIEFS OF POLICE **GAITHERSBURG, MARYLAND 20878** 800-638-4080

under

Supporting Grant Number 78NI-99-001 (S-2) awarded by the

> **U.S.** Department of Justice National Institute of Justice

> > 5

The Michigan State Police Vehicle Evaluation and Purchasing Program was initiated in 1976 through the efforts of numerous persons including Lt. Miller Richter. Lt. Richter's assistance in the development of the program and his leadership as a test driver were instrumental in its growth from a single state purchasing program to one of national prominence within the law enforcement community.

On September 9, 1982, during the annual practice session held prior to the test, Lt. Richter suffered a fatal heart attack. His contributions, insight and dedication to the program exemplify the best traditions of the Michigan Department of State Police.

This book is dedicated in memory of Lt. Miller Richter who will be greatly missed as a husband, father, fellow officer and friend.

Test results and analyses contained herein do not represent product approval or endorsement by the National Institute of Justice, the U.S. Department of Justice, or the IACP.

# DEDICATED IN MEMORY OF LIEUTENANT MILLER J. RICHTER

# PREFACE

You will find on the following pages the data collected and conclusions reached in our evaluation of 1983 police patrol package vehicles. It gives us a great deal of satisfaction to share this information with you because one way the ultimate value of our efforts can be measured is by the number of law enforcement agencies who find it useful.

We would encourage you to review the information contained in this document after first giving careful consideration to your own specific needs. The factors which we base our scores upon, particularly in the acceleration and top speed categories, are tailored to our needs which may be vastly different from your own. For example, a vehicle which fails to meet our 0-100 mph acceleration requirement might be very adequate for use by a department whose need is for quick acceleration to 60 or 70 mph.

A total of 15 police package cars were tested this year with 5 of these vehicles, including the Chevrolet Impala (350-4V), Chevrolet Malibu (305-4V), Dodge Diplomat (318-4V), Ford LTD Crown Victoria S (351-VV), and Plymouth Gran Fury (318-4V), competing against the Michigan State Police specifications. The Ford Mustang (302-4V), Ford Fairmont (140-1V), and Plymouth Reliant (2.6L-2V) were tested for acceleration, top speed, braking and vehicle dynamics. The Chevrolet Impala (229-2V), Dodge Diplomat (225-1V), Ford LTD Crown Victoria S (302-CFI), and Ford Fairmont (200-1V) were tested only for acceleration. Three Canadian vehicles were also tested for acceleration and top speed: Chevrolet Impala (Canadian 350-4V), Chevrolet Malibu (Canadian 305-4V), and Plymouth (Gran Fury) Caravelle (Canadian 318-propane fuel).

In past years we have provided our actual and adjusted bid prices at the end of the vehicle evaluation report. At the time of this writing, our bids have not been opened and in the interest of getting this report into your hands at the earliest possible time, we decided not to wait for our bid prices before going to print. However, the individual category scores and the final scores are provided and should be adequate to meet your needs.

Finally, we would like to express our appreciation for the cooperation of the many law enforcement agencies who have shown continuing interest in the evaluation program; to the vehicle manufacturers who have been very helpful in many ways, not the least of which is in supplying test cars; to the Technology Assessment Program Information Center (TAPIC) of the International Association of Chiefs of Police (IACP) and to the National Institute of Justice (NIJ) for their continued interest and support. We are indeed happy to be able to share this information or clarification of the program or in discussing how our data might be adaptable to your needs, please feel free to contact us or TAPIC by phone or mail.

> Lt. Curtis L. VanDenBerg Sgt. David<sup>(2)</sup>B. Storer Sgt. William F. McFall Michigan State Police Executive Division Policy Development and Evaluation Section 714 South Harrison Road East Lansing, Michigan 48823 Phone: (517) \$37-6145

# ABOUT THE TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT PROGRAM

The Technology Assessment Program is sponsored by the Office of Development. Testing, and Dissemination of the National Institute of Justice (NIJ), U.S. Department of Justice. The program responds to the mandate of the Justice System Improvement Act of 1979, which created NIJ and directed it to encourage research and development to improve the criminal justice system and to disseminate the results to federal, state, and local agencies.

The Technology Assessment Program is an applied research effort that determines the technological needs of justice system agencies, sets minimum performance standards for specific devices, tests commercially available equipment against those standards, and disseminates the standards and the test results to criminal justice agencies nationally and internationally.

The program operates through an Advisory Council, Standards Laboratory and Information Center.

The **Technology Assessment Program Advisory Council (TAPAC)**, consisting of nationally recognized criminal justice practitioners from federal, state, and local agencies, assesses technological needs and sets priorities for research programs and items to be evaluated and tested.

The Law Enforcement Standards Laboratory (LESL) at the National Bureau of Standards develops voluntary national performance standards for compliance testing to ensure that individual items of equipment are suitable for use by criminal justice agencies. The standards are based upon laboratory testing and evaluation of representative samples of each item of equipment to determine the key attributes, develop test methods, and establish minimum performance requirements for each essential attribute. In addition to the highly technical standards, LESL also produces user guides that explain in nontechnical terms the capabilities of available equipment.

The **Technology Assessment Program Information Center (TAPIC)**, operated by the International Association of Chiefs of Police (IACP), supervises a national compliance testing program conducted by independent agencies. The standards developed by LESL serve as performance benchmarks against which commercial equipment is measured. The facilities, personnel, and testing capabilities of the independent laboratories are evaluated by LESL prior to testing each item of equipment, and LESL helps the Information Center staff review and analyze data. Test results are published in Consumer Product Reports designed to help justice system procurement officials make informed purchasing decisions.

All publications issued by the National Institute of Justice, including those of the Technology Assessment Program, are available from the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS), which serves as a central information and reference source for the nation's criminal justice community. For further information, or to register with NCJRS, write to the National Institute of Justice, National Criminal Justice Reference Service, Box 6000, Rockville, Maryland 20850.

> Paul Cascarano, Assistant Director National Institute of Justice

11i

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

Dedication	···· iic 195 ···· i
Preface	
About The Technology Assessment Progr	'am
Introduction	
Bid Specifications	2
Manufacturer Specifications	
Vehicle Dynamics Testing	A CONSISTIONS 4
Acceleration and Ton Sneed Testing	<i>A</i> <b>C</b> <i>C C C C C C C C C C</i>
Brake Tecting	10
Engenomics and Communications	13
Ergonomites and communitedetons	
ruei Economy.	n a constant
Michigan State Police Patrol Venicle	Weighting and Scoring
for Model Year 1983	
Appendix A - Michigan State Vehicle	Specification 21
Appendix B - Manufacturer Vehicle Sp	ecifications
Appendix C - Vehicle Acceleration Da	ta 45
Appendix D - Bid Adjustment Procedury	es

#### LIST OF TABLES

1.	Information Hardware Description Summary
2.	Vehicle Dynamics Testing
3.	Summary of Acceleration and Top Speed Testing
4.	Summary of Brake Testing
5.	Ergonomics and Communications
6.	Estimated EPA Figures
7.	Michigan State Police Competitive Patrol Vehicle
	Evaluation—Raw Scores
8.	Michigan State Police Competitive Patrol Vehicle
	Evaluation—Weighted Scores

#### LIST OF FIGURES

<ol> <li>Four and Six Cylinder Engine Equipped Vehicle Acceleration.</li> <li>Canadian Eight Cylinder Engine Equipped Vehicle Acceleration.</li> <li>Vehicle Stapping Distances From 60 Miles Par New 12</li> </ol>	1.	Eight Cylinder Engine Equipped Vehicle Acceleration
3. Canadian Eight Cylinder Engine Equipped Vehicle Acceleration 8	2.	Four and Six Cylinder Engine Equipped Vehicle Acceleration 8
A Nobicle Stepping Distances From 60 Miles Den Haun	3.	Canadian Eight Cylinder Engine Equipped Vehicle Acceleration 8
4. Venicle Scopping Distances from ou Miles Per Hour	4.	Vehicle Stopping Distances From 60 Miles Per Hour

This report, for the 1983 model year, is the fifth in a series of publications that presents the results of testing police patrol vehicles. The first report, which concerned the 1979 vehicle model year, was prepared as the result of recommendations of the Transportation Committee of the Technology Assessment Program Advisory Council (TAPAC), which recognized that police departments have an urgent need for valid performance data to serve as a basis for patrol vehicle procurement decisions.

The Michigan State Police (MSP) has established a procurement policy that requires manufacturers to submit sealed bids for vehicles that will meet formal vehicle specifications, following which the specific vehicles offered under that bid action are subjected to testing and the ergonomics and communications design characteristics are evaluated. Upon completion of the test program, the results are weighted to reflect the relative importance of each attribute as related to MSP operational requirements and the individual bids are adjusted to reflect overall performance. The contracts are awarded on the basis of the adjusted price.

The MSP testing program is conducted annually, and the Technology Assessment Program Information Center (TAPIC) of the International Association of Chiefs of Police has made arrangements with MSP to reproduce the test results and distribute them to all interested police departments. This year, TAPIC provided the MSP with a small contract to help defray the additional cost of testing four and six cylinder engine vehicles, which otherwise would not have been included in the test program.

This report presents most of the test results from the MSP in summary form. However, certain of the detailed data are included in appendices for those wishing to study the test results in detail. Similarly, the bid adjustment information calculated by MSP is included as one example of a method to compare bids. As of the date of this publication, MSP had not opened the vehicle bids, therefore the final adjusted bid prices are not included in table 8. It should be noted, however, that the weighting factors used by MSP are unique to its needs, and other departments wishing to employ this or a similar method are urged to carefully consider their own needs and to alter the weighting factors accordingly. Also, the weighting factors must reflect changing procedures or other influencing factors; for example, during the evaluation of bids for the 1980 model year, MSP assigned a weighting factor of only 10 percent to acceleration, and ergonomics and communications were rated separately with a combined weighting factor of 15 percent.

A TAPIC staff representative was present during the MSP testing program to observe the testing, and to obtain firsthand knowledge of the deatiled effort to enable TAPIC to answer questions from the readers of this report so that MSP will not be burdened with requests for information. The MSP vehicle testing program was conducted in a professional manner and TAPIC feels that the test data are suitable for police departments to use as a basis for procurement decisions.

#### INTRODUCTION

# BID SPECIFICATIONS

The State of Michigan, Department of Management and Budget, Purchasing Division prepares, on an annual basis, a detailed specification for police patrol cars that is used as the basis for sealed bids from the manufacturers. The Michigan specification is presented solely to identify the manner in which the 1983 model year vehicles that were tested by MSP were configured, and to provide information on the various requirements established by the State of Michigan for patrol vehicles. Other police departments may find items within the Michigan specification that are inconsistent with their own operational needs, and are encouraged to develop a specification reflecting the manner in which patrol vehicles are operated in their own jurisdiction. The Michigan specification is reproduced in Appendix A.

#### MANUFACTURER SPECIFICATIONS

Table 1 provides a summary of the specifications for the vehicles that were tested by MSP for model year 1983, compiled from manufacturer brochures for vehicles available with police packages. Individual data sheets for each of the vehicles are presented in Appendix B.

100



# Table 1 INFORMATIONAL HARDWARE DESCRIPTION SUMMARY

0 2

MAKE, MODEL:	Chevrolet Impala	Dodge Diplomat	Ford LTD-CV-S	Chevrolet Malibu	Plymouth Gran Fury	Ford LTD-CV-S	Chevrolet Impala	Dodge Diplomat	Ford Fairmont	Ford Fairmont	T
ENGINE DISPLACEMENT-CU. IN.	350	318	351	305	318	302	229	225	200	140	Ţ
ENGINE DISPLACEMENT-LITERS	57	5.2	5.8	5.0	5.2	5.0	3.8	37	2 2	23	1
CARBURETOR-BBL	4	4	200*	4	4	CFI**	5	1	1	1	1
HORSEPOWER (S.A.E. NET)***	155	165	165	145	165	130	110	90	92	90	t
TORQUE LBS ***	265	240	200	260	20	240	170	765	156	122	1
COMPRESSION RATIO	8.2	8.5	8.3	8.6	8.5	8.4	8.6	8.4	8.6	9.1	t
AXLE RATIO	3.08	2.94	2.73	2.73	2.94	3.08	2.73	2.94	2.73	3.08	1
TURNING CIRCLE (CURB TO CURB)-FT.	38.7	40.7	30.2	37.9	40.7	30.2	39.7	40.7	30 5	30 5	1
TRANSMISSION-MODEL NUMBER	700R4	A727	PKAAS5	3500	A727	PKAAS5	2500	A904	PEN-C	82DT	1
TRANSMISSION-LOCK UP TORQUE CONVERTER	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	t
TRANSMISSION-OVERDRIVE	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	T
O TIRE SIZE	P225/ 70R15	P215/ 70R15	P225/ 70R15	P205/ 70R14	P215/ 70R15	P225/ 70R15	P205/ 75R15	P215/ 70R15	P205/ 70R14	P205/ 70R14	
BRAKE-FRONT-TYPE	Disc	Disc	Disc	Disc	Disc	Disc	Disc	Disc	Disc	Dise	t
BRAKE-REAR-TYPE	Drum	Drum	Drum	Drum	Drum	° Drum	Drum	Drum	Drum	Drum	t
OVERALL LENGTH-INCHES	212.2	205 7	200 3	102 7	205 7	200 3	212 2	205 7	204.3	204 3	t
OVERALL HEIGHT-INCHES	56.4	55.3	54.7	55.7	55.3	54.7	56.4	55.3	55.5	55.5	t
WEIGHT-TEST-LBS.	3093	3887	4059	3516	3881	3802	3713	3688	2872	2070	t
WHEELBASE-INCHES	116 0	112 7	114 2	100 1	112 7	114 2	116.0	112 7	105 5	105 5	t
HEAD ROOM-FRONT-INCHES	39.5	39.3	37.9	38.5	39.3	37.9	39.5	39.3	39.3	39.3	t
HEAD ROOM-REAR-INCHES	20.2	27.7	27.2	27.6	9.7	27.0	20.2	477	27.7	27.7	t
LEG ROCM-FRONT-INCHES	42.2	42.5	42.1	42.8	42.5	42.1	42.2	42.5	42.7	42.7	t
LEG ROOM-REAR-INCHES	20 1	25.5	40.7	20.0	25.6	40.7	20 1	25.6	27.0	27 0	t
SHOULDER ROOM-FRONT-INCHES		50.0		56.7	50,0	40.7	0.0	50.0	57.0	57.0	t
SHOULDER ROOM-REAR-INCHES	60.5	55.9	61.6	57.1	55.9	61.6	60.5	55 9	55 7	55.7	t
HIP ROOM_FRONT-INCHES	55 0	53.5	61.0	52.2	53.5	61.0	55.0	53.5	57.2	57.2	t
HIP ROOM-REAR-INCHES	55.0	53.5	<u> </u>	55.6	<u> </u>		55.0	55.5	57.2	57.2	t
INTERIOR VOLUME-FRONT-CU. FT.	58.1	54.1	57.0	<u>54.1</u>	54.1	57.0	58.1	54 1	53.0	53.0	t
INTERIOR VOLUME-REAR-CU, FT.	52(3)	146	54.0	47.2	AA 6	E4 0	53.2		42.0	42.0	t
INTERIOR VOLUME-COMBINED-CU. FT.	110.3	98.7	111.0	101.3	98.7	111.0	110.3	98.7	96.0	96.0	t
INTERIOR VOLUME-TRUNK-CU, FT.	20.9	15.6	22.4	16.6	15.6	22.4	20.9	15.6	17 0	17.0	t
E.P.A. MILEAGE-CITY-MPG	15	14	14	18	14	17	10	10	10	21	t
E.P.A. MILEAGE-HIGHWAY-MPG	25	21	24	26	21	26	27	25	24	31	t
E.P.A. MILEAGE-COMBINED MPG	18	16	17	21	16	20	22	21	21	.24	t

୍ୱର୍ଡ୍ 3 4

1

10,000

• Ŵ/ Verlable Venturi ••GFI Coentral Fuel Injection •••See and idduel data sheets in Appendix B for engine RPM.

lymouth eliant	Ford Mustang
156	302
2.6	5.0
2	4
93	175
132	245
8.2	8.4
3.02	3.08
34.8	
470	RUG-EM
No	No
No	Yes
2185/ C 70R14	P205/ 70R14
Disc	Disc
Drum	Drum
6.0	179.1
52.7	51.9
2659	2970
10.1	100.4
38.6	37.2
7.8	35.9
2.2	41.7
35.9	29.7
5.4	55.8
55.9	54.3
5.6	55.9
6.2	47.1
52.1	N/A
13.4	N/A
95.5	84.0
5.0	8.0
24	17
30	28
26	21

1

-th ų.

n.

### VEHICLE DYNAMICS TESTING

The performance of a vehicle during high speed pursuit is dependent upon all of its operational characteristics including acceleration, braking, suspension, and steering. Further, individual differences between drivers can also influence the overall pursuit capability of a vehicle/driver system.

Because high speed pursuit handling is of major concern, a test procedure developed by the Michigan State Police was utilized which permits a fair evaluation of each test vehicle relative to the other vehicles in the test group. Rather than attempt to evaluate each handling characteristic separately, each vehicle is driven at high speeds over a 1.635-mile long, racing-type course containing hills, curves, and corners. The course simulates actual driving conditions encountered in pursuit situations in the field, with the exception of other traffic, and provides a simultaneous evaluation of all pertinent handling characteristics. In order to accomodate variations between drivers, each vehicle was driven by 4 different drivers 4 times, resulting in 16 timed laps.

This test quickly identifies whether the manufacturer of the vehicle offers a balanced package in terms of blending the suspension components, acceleration capabilities, and braking characteristics, because serious deficiencies in the vehicles would result in greatly increased times to travel over the course. For example, if the vehicle's cornering or braking capabilities are totally inadequate, the vehicle would be subject to either mechanical failure or loss of control. All of the 1983 model year vehicles tested successfully completed the required 16 laps.

The vehicle dynamics test results are presented in table 2. In each case, the test driver attempted to complete the course in the minimum time possible. Thus, the figure for comparison purposes is the average elapsed time, as the objective is to complete the course in the shortest time possible. While the average times for the 4 laps for each driver are listed in table 2, the average elapsed time for each test vehicle is calculated by averaging the 12 lowest elapsed times of the 16 reported lap times. Since vehicle dynamics is considered by the MSP to be a critical performance characteristic, a weighting factor of 25 percent has been assigned to these test results.

# Table 2 PRELIMINARY HANDLING EVALUATION (VEHICLE DYNAMICS TESTING)

VEHICLES	DRIVERS*	LAP 1	LAP 2	LAP 3	LAP 4	AVERAGE
Ohermelet	Floate	1:31.01	1:30.98	1:30.78	1:30.86	
Impala	Ring	1:32.50	1:32.68	1:32.69	1:33.16	
(350-4V)	Olsen	1:33.09	1:32.82	1:33.11	1:33.35	
	Steendam	1:33.85	1:33.72	1:32.82	1:33.63	
OVERALL AVERAGE			and the set			1:32.21
Chevrolet	Fioate	1:31.38	1:31.37	1:31.12	1:31.16	
Malibu	Ring	1:31.74	1:31.78	1:31.89	1:31.63	······
(305-4V)	Olsen	1:34.12	1:33.98	1:33.48	1:35.35	
	Steendam	1:33.70	.:.3.68	1:33.17	1:33.18	
OVERALL AVERAGE						1:32.13
D - J	Floate	1:31.98	1:32.05	1:32.36	1:31.54	
Dodge	Ring	1:33.92	1;34,83	1:34.60	1:34.40	·····
(318-4V)	Olsen	1:36.35	1:35.42	1:36.84	1:34.73	
(010.17)	Steendam	1:34.05	1:34.33	1:34.15	1:34.39	······································
OVERALL AVERAGE						1:33.54
	Floate	1:36.32	1:36.36	1:36.10	1:35.71	
Ford	Ring	1:38.17	1:38.39	1:37.88	1:37.08	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
(140-1)	Olsen	1:40.54	1:40.97	1:39.84	1:39.48	
	Steendam	1:39.46	1:40:07	1:39.47	1:37.77	<del>~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~</del>
OVERALL AVERAGE	Sec. A. Dar M		*			1:37.68
Ford I TD	Floate	1:29.59	1:29.42	1:29.58	1:28.94	
Crown	Ring	1:30.53	1:30.49	1:30.35	1:30.56	
Victoria S	Olsen	1.32.63	1:33.21	1:31.89	1:31.90	
(351-VV)	Steendam	4 1:32-20	1:32.14	1:31.65	1:32.30	
VERALL AVERAGE		and the second se			/ ?·	1:30.59
	Floate	1:27.11	1:26.57	1:26.52	1:26.53	
Ford	Ring	1:30.93	1:30.88	1:29.89	1:29.30	
(302-4\/)	Olsen	1:29.26	1:29.41	1:28.80	1:29.03	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
С	Steendam	1:29!57h.**	1:28.81	1:29.47	1:28.90	
OVERALL AVERAGE					<i>V</i> .	1:28.31
	Floate	1:31.85	1:31.96	1:31.91	1:32.04	
Plymouth	Ring	1:33.01	1:33.24	1:32.65	1:33.14	the second second
Gran Fury	Olsen	M. M.35.23	1:34:78	1:33.76	1:34.82	
(310-47)	Steendam	1:33.08	1:33.47	1:32.55	1:32.74	
OVERALL AVERAGE						1:32.64
	Floate	1:33.95	1:33.87	1:33.92	1:34.20	
Plymouth	Ring	1:34.80	1:34.42	1:34.35	1:34.14	<u> </u>
Reliant	Olsen	10011361620	2. LEIS7418	136.28	1:35.70	the second s
(2.6L-2V)	Steendam	1135 88 20	1:35.59	1:35.18	1:34.80	

All times in minutes, seconds, and hundredths of a second, e.g., 1:34.96 = 1 minute, 34 seconds, and 96/100 of a second. All tests conducted on Michigan International Speedway road course. Shaded areas indicate times deleted for overall averaging purposes. \*The drivers are Michigan State Police officers trained as vehicle test drivers.

### ACCELERATION AND TOP SPEED TESTING

The acceleration and top speed of each test vehicle are determined through the use of a fifth wheel in conjunction with an electronic speed meter and a multifunction timer. Strip chart recordings of the instantaneous vehicle speed and distance traveled as a function of time are also produced during the tests.

Each vehicle is accelerated from a standing stop to 100 mph during four acceleration sequences, two northbound and two southbound, to allow for wind direction. For each of the four acceleration runs, the time is recorded at which each 10-mph increment of speed is attained, for speeds from 20 to 100 mph. The four times for each speed interval are then averaged.

Following the fourth acceleration run, the test vehicle is subjected to continued acceleration, and two additional items of data are recorded: the distance required to reach a speed of 100 mph, and the maximum speed that is attained in a distance of 14 miles from the start of the run.

Figures 1, 2, and 3 present a plot of the speed of each test vehicle as a function of time for eight cylinder engine, four and six cylinder engine, and eight cylinder Canadian engine vehicles, respectively. Note that the acceleration characteristics of the Chevrolet Impala 350, Chevrolet Malibu 305, Dodge Diplomat 318, and Plymouth Gran Fury 318 in figure 1 were so similar that they cannot be distinguished on the scale of the graph.

For all 15 of the test vehicles, the average time required for each vehicle to reach the designated speeds is presented in table 3, together with the top speed, and time required to attain a speed of 90 or 100 mph.

Table 3 also presents data for the average time to travel a quarter mile during the acceleration runs and the instantaneous speed at the quarter mile point, obtained from the strip chart recordings. In reviewing this data, it will become apparent that the time required to travel a quarter mile is not directly proportional to the instantaneous speed of the vehicle at the quarter mile point. This apparent anomaly is a consequence of the fact that a vehicle does not accelerate at a uniform rate. Consequently, a vehicle that accelerates rapidly at lower speeds with a more gradual increase in acceleration at higher speeds may not achieve as high a speed at the quarter mile distance as one that does not accelerate as rapidly at low speeds but accelerates more rapidly at higher speeds. The Plymouth Gran Fury 318 required 19.10 seconds to attain a speed of 76.50 mph at the quarter mile. In contrast, the Chevrolet Impala Canadian 350 took only 18.30 seconds to obtain the identical speed of 76.50 mph at the end of the quarter mile.

The data obtained by the MSP during the acceleration testing is used by the MSP in two ways. The minimum elapsed times required to reach speeds of 60, 80, and 100 mph from a stop are specified in the MSP purchase specification. If a test vehicle requires more time than specified to reach any of these speeds, the vehicle is eliminated from further consideration in the procurement action.

Those wishing to compare the vehicle performance with the MSP specification will find the acceleration data for each vehicle and the MSP specification requirements tabulated in Appendix C.

The second use of the acceleration data concerns the process of bid adjustment. Those vehicles that meet the minimum specification requirements for acceleration are retained in the bid, and the top speed becomes one of the factors used to compare the vehicles. A weighting factor of 15 percent has been assigned to the top speed by the MSP.



6

\*See note TITUTI IIIIII LTD 302

Figure 1

\*NOTE: Diplomat 318, Gran Fury 318, Impala 350, LTD 351 and Malibu 305 are all within this performance band.

#### **8 CYLINDER CARS**











			EIGHT	CYLINDER EN	GIMES			CANADIAN	EIGHT CYLIND	ER ENGINES		FOUR AND	SIX CYLINDE	R ENGINES	
	Chevrolet Impala	Chevrolet Malibu	Dodge Diplomat	Ford LTD Crown Victoria S	Ford LTD Crown Victoria S	Ford Mustang	Plymouth Gran Fury	Chevrolet Impala (Canadian)	Chevrolet Malibu (Canadian)	Plymouth Caravelle (Canadian)	Chevrolet Impala	Dodge Diplomat	Ford Fairmont	Ford Fairmont	Plymouth Reliant
SPEED	(350.44)	(000 41)	(010 44)	(302-CFI)	(351-VV)	(302-4V)	(318-4V)	(350-4V)	(305-4V)	(318Propane)	(229-2V)	(225-1V)	(140-1V)	(200-1V)	(2.6L-2V)
0-20 M/2H (Sec)	2.51	2.64	3.08	2.80	2,88	2.33	3.16	1 2.54	2.55	2,63	3.81	3.56	3.60	3,65	3.25
0-30 MPH (Sec)	4,09	4.27	5.09	4.72	4.79	3.40	5.13	4.08	4,17	4.21	6.38	5,89	6.21	6.21	5.31
0-40 MPH (Sec)	6.09	6,23	7.15	7.14	6.74	4.69	7,05	5.91	6.08	6.12	9,17	9.07	9.17	9.12	7.68
0-50 MPH (Sec)	8.69	8.59	9.53	10,14	9.14	6.42	9,27	8,30	8.57	8.93	12.60	13.26	12.98	12.76	11.14
0-60 MPH (Sec)	11.67	11.71	12.81	13.94	12.22	8.32	12.38	11.03	11.59	12.14	17.40	18,75	18.40	17.68	15.49
0-70 MPH (Sec)	16.08	15.70	16.71	19.06	16.26	10.70	16.26	14.75	15.38	16.26	23.51	27.70	26.89	24.39	21.21
0-80 MPH (Sec)	22.09	21.70	22.09	26.34	21.35	14.23	21.05	20.04	20.91	22.11	34.75	41.77	41.95	35.74	32.00
0-90 MPH (Sec)	30,14	29.90	30.13	36.76	28,94	18.16	29,19	26.79	29.09	29.31	51.57	74.32	83.57	58,49	49,43
0-100 MPH (Sec)	42.51	40.73	40.46	69.01	39.81	22.71	39.68	36.65	42.74	42.45					
Distance to reach 100 MPH (Miles)*	.84	.79	.77	1.49	.77	.41	.76	.71	,85	.84					
Distance to reach 90 MPH (Miles)*											.91	1,43	1.65	1.07	.90
Top Speed (MPH)	115.00	116.30	118.80	104.40	117.90	132.00	120.00	107,10	112.50	113.60	104.30	96.50	95.80	97.70	102.80
			-							υ					

# Table 3 - SUMMARY OF ACCELERATION AND TOP SPEED TESTING

												- C		
Time (Sec) 18.5!	5 18.78	19.30	19.93	18.83	16.68	19.10	18.30	18,35	18.95	21.50	22.03	21.83	21.93	19.70
Speed (MPH) 73.50	0 75.00	75.50	71.25	75.25	86.25	76.50	76.50	75.75	74,25	67.00	63.50	65.00	66.25	68.00

\*Obtained from Str.p Chart Recordings of Acceleration Runs

Q

15255



BRAKE TESTING

The braking characteristics of vehicles are of major importance when the vehicles are intended for pursuit service. A braking test was conducted to provide a basis for comparing the vehicles of the different manufacturers of police vehicles.

Only 8 of the 15 tested vehicles were subjected to the brake testing. The following vehicles were not tested for braking because they were not equipped with a police package (although these cars can be ordered with the same braking system that was tested on their sister cars): Chevrolet Impala (229-2V); Dodge Diplomat (225-1V); Ford Fairmont (200-1V); and Ford LTD Crown Victoria S (302-CFI). In addition, the three Canadian vehicles which were submitted for acceleration testing because they have a different emissions package, were not subjected to brake testing as their braking system is identical to their U.S. counterparts and their testing would be redundant.

The brake testing was conducted using a fifth wheel in conjunction with electronic digital speed and distance meters to determine the initial velocity at the beginning of the deceleration, and the distance required to come to a complete stop during an impending skid from 60 to 0 mph.

Each vehicle was subjected to 11 braking tests conducted in 3 phases. Phase I consisted of stopping the vehicle 4 times with a controlled deceleration rate of 22 ft/sec<sup>2</sup> from 90 to 0 mph. During these stops, the driver used a decelerometer to maintain the proper deceleration rate. These four stops were accomplished to cause the brakes to heat up. Since the stops were made at a controlled rate, the resulting data did not represent the maximum braking capability of the vehicle, and was not reported. Following the four 90 mph stops, the vehicle was stopped in an impending skid from 60 mph and the deceleration rate was calculated from the initial velocity and the stopping distance.

The brakes were allowed a period of four minutes to cool, and the procedures outlined above were repeated as phase II.

Immediately upon completion of the phase II test sequence, the vehicle was subjected to one 60-to-0 mph full four-wheel lock stop (phase III) to determine the ability of the vehicle to stop in a straight line within its lane. The phase III data were recorded as observational information only. All of the vehicles tested performed in an acceptable manner during phase III testing.

The deceleration rates calculated for the phase I and II 60-to-0 mph stops are presented in table 4 and figure 4. Figure 4 shows the stopping distance from 60 mph calculated from the average deceleration rate for each vehicle. The average of the two deceleration rates for each vehicle is used for comparison of the vehicles, and is assigned a weighting factor of 10 percent.

Sector March

# Table 4 SUMMARY OF BRAKE TESTING

BRAKING Phase I	Chevrolet Impala (350-4V)	Chevrolet Malibu (305-4V)	Dodge Diplomat (318-4V)	Ford Fairmont (140-1V)	Ford LTD Crown Victoria S (351-VV)	Ford Mustang (302-4V)	Plymouth Gran Fury (318-4V)	Plymouth Reliant (2.6L.*2V)
Initial Speed (MPH)	60.20	60.40	60.70	60.30	60.30	60.20	60.70	60.50
Stopping Distance (Ft)	167.60	159.00	166.00	160.20	163.70	151.30	158.10	164.90
Deceleration Rate (Ft/Sec <sup>2</sup> )	23.258	24.679	23.874	24.413	23.891	25.764	25,067	23.875

# Phase II Initial Speed (MPH)

0

Stopping Distance (Ft) Deceleration Rate (Ft/Sec Deceleration Rate (Average) (Ft/Sec<sup>2</sup>)

	60.50	60.10 <sup>°</sup>	59.70	60.80	60.60	60.60	60.20	60.50
	169.80	159.70	155.50	165.50	164.00	163.40	157,40	163.70
<sup>2</sup> )	23.186	24.327	24.653	24.025	24.085	24.174	24.765	24.050
	23.222	<b>24,503</b> <sup>•</sup>	24.264	24.219	23.988	24.969	24.916	23.963

T. CHINA CON

 $\odot$ 

E-





The physical design and construction of a vehicle can impact upon the ability of an officer to perform his duties, and is a major concern with respect to the installation of required communications equipment.

The MSP has designed a form that identifies 24 ergonomic characteristics of importance to the patrol officers' environment, and 3 items critical to the installation of communications equipment. A minimum of four officers are assigned to independently and individually score each vehicle on comfort and instrumentation by using the forms, and personnel from the departmental radio installation and garage units rate the vehicles based upon the relative difficulty of the necessary communication installation.

Each factor is graded on a scale of 1 to 10, with 1 representing "totally unacceptable," 5 representing "average," and 10 representing "superior." The scores for each factor for each vehicle are averaged to minimize personal prejudice for or against a given vehicle. The ergonomics and communications data are presented in table 5.

The average scores for each factor are totaled and used as one of the bid adjustment factors with a weighting of 10 percent.

# ERGONOMICS AND COMMUNICATIONS

#### 14 EVALUATED ON A SCALE OF 1 (WORST) TO 10 (BEST) **ERGONOMICS AND** COMMUNICATIONS CHEVROL IMPROL 350 41 1. ERGONOMICS SEATS Front 4.50 Padding 5.50 Depth of Bench 6.38 Angle of Back Adjustability 6.00 Seat to Wheel Relationship 6.00 Seat to Pedal Relationship 6.25 Rear 6.38 Leg Room CONTROLS AND INSTRUMENTATION Vehicle Controls Pedals - Size and Relationship

ſ	6.38	6.63	6.50	6.00	6.63
Ţ	6.00	7.63	7.63	5.75	7.63
	5.38	8.00	5.63	5.38	8.00
	Q #		н,		
_					
Γ	6.25	7.38	6.63	7.88	7.38
	C 0F	7 00	<u> </u>	7 75	7 00

8.00

5.75

6.25

5.38

6.63

8,00

7.50

8.00

7.25

7.75

7.75

PMOUTH PAN LITH

7.25

6.25

6.88

6.25

6.88

7.25

5.75

7.75

6.63

6.75

6.13

6.25

7.13

7.50 7.38 7.00

6.38

6 0 V

CHEL

4.25

5.63

6.13

5.50

6.38

6.50

4.63

7.00

6.50

5.88

6.00

5.50

5.50

6.13

 $\mathcal{J}$ 

CI Pl	arity acement		

Instrumentation

Steering Wheel Position Heater/AC Controls Location

#### VISIBILITY Front Left Side Left Rear Quarter **Riaht Side Right Rear Quarter**

ENGINE ACCESSIBILITY TRUNK ACCESSIBILITY

Rear

HEATER/AIR CONDITIONER			9
Operation Blower Bange	8.00	7.50	7.75
Temperature	7. 38	7.38	7.50
Vent Placement	7.63	7.00	7.63
Vent Adjustability	6.88	6.38	6.88
WINDOWS AND DOORS			
Seal	7.13	7.75	8.25
Position of Crank	6.13	6.75	6.63
Doors			
Ease of Entry and Exit—Front	7.00	6.63	7.50
Ease of Entry and Exit—Rear	6.25	6.13	7.38
		в	
2. COMMUNICATIONS	<b>1</b> 8 60	5 20	1 60
DASH ACCESSIBILITY	0.00	5.20	4.00

0 4.60	8.40	5.20
0 8.40	8.20	5.60
0 5.00	6.20	6.20
	0 4.60 0 8.40 0 5.00	0 4.60 8.40 0 8.40 8.20 0 5.00 6.20

		3	
8.00	7.50	7.75	8.00
7.38	7.38	7.50	7.50
7 63	7 00	7 62	6 50

7.75

6.75

6.13

6.25

7.13

7.00

6.50

5.75

5.88

5.63

6.00

Table 5

000 010196 318-0141

7.25

6.25

6.88

6.25

6.88

7.25

5.75

		€.	e			
		7.13	7.75	8.25	7.13	7.75
		6.13	6.75	6.63	7.38	6.75
• • • •	v.			6		

6 25 6 13 7 38 4 88	0.0.
	6.13

1	8.60	5.20	4.60	8.40	5.20
	8.80	5.60	8.40	8.20	5.60
	6.60	6.20	5.00	6.20	6.20

	in the second		and the second	and an an an and a stand a	
	100 /0	100 01		100 11	100 01
OTAL O	1188 43	I IYN 31		1 1 25 11	1 106 3
	1.100.10		1 202.30	1 100 111	1 120 01
			the second s		

Fuel consumption is a major consideration for any police department. The MSP does not perform tests to determine fuel consumption, but rather uti-lizes the published Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) data. These data are valid and reliable in a comparison sense, while not necessarily being an accurate prediction of actual economy.

The EPA estimated miles-per-gallon figures (given to the nearest 0.1 miles per gallon), as presented in table 6, are used as the final factor in the bid adjustment process. A weighting factor of 25 percent has been assigned to fuel economy.

6 6 6 F

VEHICLES		EPA Miles Per Gallon*				
MAKE/MODEL		CITY**	HIGHWAY	COMBINED		
Chevrolet Impala	350-4V	15 (14.8)	25	18		
Chevrolet Malibu	305-4V	18 (17.8)	26	21		
Dodge Diplomat	318-4V∘	14 (14.0)	. 21	16		
Ford LTD Crown Victoria S	351-V V	14 (14.0)	24	17		
Ford Mustang	302-4V	17***	28 ***	21 ***		
Plymouth Gran Fury	318-4V	14 (14.0)	21	. 16		
			-			
Chevrolet Impala	229-2V	19 (18.6)	27	22		
Dodge Diplomat	225-1V	19 (18.7)	25	21		
Ford Fairmont	140-1V	21 (20.9)	31	24		
Ford Fairmont	200-1V	19 (18.6)	24	21		
Ford LTD Crown Victoria S	302-CFI	17 (16.6)	26	20		
Plymouth Reliant	2.6L-2V	24 (23.6)	30	26		

\*For vechicles available in the United States; data on Canadian vechiles not available \*\*City mileage estimate developed from EPA Test Car Data List. \*\*\*Figures supplied by Ford Motor Corporation

۰.

### FUEL ECONOMY

# Table 6 **ESTIMATED EPA FIGURES**

### MICHIGAN STATE POLICE PATROL VEHICLE WEIGHTING AND SCORING FOR MODEL YEAR 1983

The MSP procedure for the final award of the contract for police vehicles involves several steps. First, any vehicle that fails to meet the minimum requirements of the purchase specification, as determined by inspection and testing, is eliminated from consideration.

For each vehicle that meets the minimum requirements, the raw data for each of the six factors tested and evaluated are entered onto a score sheet. Finally, the test/evaluation results are used to calculate an adjusted bid price that reflects the extent to which each vehicle scores above or below the average score of all of the vehicles. The contract is then awarded to the minimum bid as adjusted.

In adjusting the bid, MSP has established, by policy, the fact that as an agency they are willing to pay as much as five percent more than the average price of all bids received for a vehicle that scores well. Thus, the bid adjustment is simply five percent of the average. Since the bid adjustment has the net effect of reducing the bid price (i.e., superior performance is equivalent to a lower bid), the five percent adjustment factor is entered as a negative guantity (-\$).

Table 7 presents the final results of the bid adjustments calculated by MSP for the 1983 model year. The score for each vehicle is entered as the top number in each column: (1) the vehicle dynamics score is the average time in seconds that the vehicle required to complete the 12 fastest laps of the pursuit course, (2) the acceleration score is the time in seconds that the vehicle required to reach a speed of 100 mph, (3) the brake deceleration score is the average deceleration rate in  $ft/sec^2$ , (4) the top speed is the maximum speed in mph that the vehicle obtained, (5) the ergonomics and communications score is the total point value assigned to the vehicle on the score sheet, and (6) the fuel economy score is the city mileage estimate published by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in miles per gallon (given to the nearest 0.1 mile per gallon).

For each vehicle, the second entry in each column is the weighted Z(WTD Z) score. To calculate this the following steps are required:

- 1. The average score  $(\overline{X})$  for all vehicles for a given factor (column such as vehicle dynamics) and the standard deviation (S) of all scores for that factor are calculated.
- 2. The average score for all vehicles  $(\overline{X})$  is subtracted from the score of the individual vehicle (X), and the result divided by the standard deviation,

3. The value calculated in step 2 above is multiplied by the weighting factor.

Once the weighted Z factor has been calculated for each of the six scores, the WTD Z for all factors are added to obtain the total score for the vehicle (total WTD Z), which is multiplied by the five percent bid adjustment in dollars and added to the actual bid to obtain the adjusted bid.

The procedure for making the above calculations manually is described in Appendix D. Those wishing to make such calculations should recognize that the data presented in table 8 were processed by the MSP using a computer. The processing was done using a greater number of significant figures than those reported in this report; consequently, calculations of the bid adjustment using only three figures for the WTD Z scores will not agree precisely with the bid adjustments shown in the table.

In addition, it must be noted that the calculation of the WTD Z for the vehicle dynamics and acceleration scores requires that the sign of the value calculated using the stated formula must be reversed. This is the result of the fact that for these two vehicle scores only, the minimum time represents the best performance—unless the sign is reversed, the vehicle with the fastest speeds would receive a penalty since their speeds are less than the average speed of all of the vehicles tested.

At the time of publication, the MSP had not opened their bids. Therefore, table 8 does not have the bid data included. The bid adjustment procedure, when used by the MSP for the 1982 model year, did not alter the vehicle selection. During the procurement of the 1980 model year vehicles, MSP purchased vehicles that were not the low bid until the bid price was adjusted to reflect the overall performance of all test vehicles.

# Table 7MICHIGAN STATE POLICECOMPETITIVE PATROL VEHICLE EVALUATION

	25% VEHICLE DYNAMICS (secs)	15% ACCELERATION (secs)	10% BRAKING RATE (ft/sec <sup>2</sup> )	15% TOP SPEED (mph)	10% ERGONOMICS & COMMUNI- CATIONS (points)	25% FUEL ECON( (city EPA
CAR MAKE/ MODEL	RAW SCORES	RAW SCORES	RAW SCORES	RAW SCORES	RAW SCORES	RAW SCOF
CHEVROLET IMPALA 350-4V	92.21	42.51	,23.23	115.0	. 188.43	14.8
CHEVROLET MALIBU 305-4V	92.13	40.73	24.51	116.3	185.11	17.8
DODGE DIPLOMAT 318-4V	93.54	<sup>°</sup> 40.46	24.26	118.8	196.31	14.0
FORD LTD CVS 351-VV	90.59	39.81	23.99	117.9	202.93	14.0
PLYMOUTH GRAN FURY 318-4V	92.64	39.68	24.92	120.0	196.31	14.0
		6 8			9	



# Table 8

# MICHIGAN STATE POLICE COMPETITIVE PATROL VEHICLE EVALUATION

	25% VEH DYN SEC	15% ACCEL SEC	10% BRAKE DECEL FT/S <sup>2</sup>	15% TOP SPEED MPH	10% ERGO/ COM PTS	25% FUEL ECON CITY EPA	TOTAL SCORE	BID 5.00 ADJ*
CAR MAKE/ MODEL	SCORE & WTD Z	SCORE & WTD Z	SCORE & WTD Z	SCORE & WTD Z	SCORE & WTD Z	SCORE & WTD Z	TOTAL WTD DEV	
CHEVROLET IMPALA 350-4V	92.21 0.003	42.51 -0.277	23,23 -0.168	115.00 -0.220	188.43 -0.085	14.80 -0.020	-0.767	u
CHEVROLET MALIBU 305-4V	92.13 0.024	40.73 -0.014	24.51 0.058	116.30 -0.110	185.11 -0.138	17.80 0.489	0.310	
DODGE DIPLOMAT 318-4V	93.54 -0.344	40.46 0.026	24.26 0.014	118.80 0.101	196.31 0.039	14.00 -0.156	-0.319	
FORD LTD-CV-S 351-VV	90.59 0.426	39.81 0.122	23.99 -0.034	117.90 0.025	202.93 0.144	14.00 -0.156	0.528	
PLYMOUTH GRAN FURY 318-4V	92.64 -0.109	39.68 0.142	24.92 0.130	120.00 0.203	196.31 0.039	14.00 -0.156	0.249	





# APPENDIX A

2

# MICHIGAN STATE VEHICLE SPECIFICATION

النامیک ایکانی ای<del>طار</del>ی بیاد ا

an gibiania



### **BID REQUIREMENTS:**

Prior to bidding, a car dealer, manufacturer, or his representative, will be required to furnish a "police package" vehicle for test purposes. All test vehicles shall be 1983 models which are equipped with the drive train, suspension, and brake components, as well as tires and interior appointments and instrumentation, as called for in the specification requirements on all vehicles in this requisition. Submitters of vehicles shall declare in writing any deviations from the specifications at the time of delivery of these test cars. Interior and exterior colors shall be the manufacturer's option. One extra set of four (4) wheels and tires shall be supplied with each car submitted for testing. Vehicles submitted shall have undergone sufficient break-in to permit extended periods of maximum acceleration and high speed driving. Brakes on the test car shall have been burnished prior to delivery.

Test cars shall be delivered to the Michigan Department of State Police Headquarters, 714 South Harrison Road, East Lansing, Michigan, no later than 5:00 p.m., September 13, 1982.

These test vehicles will be subjected to a series of initial performance qualifications tests. Each vehicle successfully completing these tests will then be subjected to six (6) competitive performance and acceptability tests. The State of Michigan shall not be responsible for any damage during the tests, or the condition of the vehicle when returned to the submitter after testing. Furthermore, all cars tested will be at the owner's risk for any damage occurring to the vehicles for any reason.

The test vehicles will be tested and driven under the supervision of the Michigan Department of State Police, and will be tested and driven by employees of the department or personnel designated by the department.

Vehicles used for testing will be returned to the submitter no later than one (1) month following the completion of performance testing.

STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET PURCHASING DIVISION

Specifications for

Mich. 3905-0010 September 1982

23

POLICE CARS: PATROL 4-Door Sedan

Wheelbase 105.5" Minimum

#### SPECIFICATIONS:

- Model 1983 Current New
- TO BE STANDARD FACTORY EQUIPPED INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, THE FOLLOWING:
- Air Conditioning: Factory installed, system must be designed to prevent component damage due to high speed driving.
- Alternator System: Transistorized regulator, 80 amp minimum output capacity, minimum curb idle output of 45 amps (at manufacturer's recommended idle speed). Shall be of heavy duty design capable of surviving patrol car operation. Output ratings are for typical underhood ambient temperatures and not S.A.E. rating method.
- Antenna: Standard AM type, externally mounted or in the windshield type acceptable (radio not to be included).
- Ash Trays: Front seat ash tray to be on instrument panel. Rear seat ash trays shall be made inoperative.
- Battery: 12 volt, largest size available, minimum 455 cold cranking amps.
- Body Side Molding: Vehicle to be equipped with body side molding. Molding on front doors to be deleted. No holes to be on doors for moldings.
- Brakes: Power assisted, low pedal position. Disc type in front; drum type in rear. Four wheel disc brakes acceptable.

Cigarette Lighter: To be located on instrument panel.

Cooling System: Vehicle to have maximum size cooling system available, incorporating "coolant recovery" system, factory installed.

Differential: Heavy duty, limited slip required.

Engine: Cubic inch displacement to be at manufacturer's option providing that the car will meet or exceed the vehicle performance requirements found elsewhere in this specification.

Floor Mat: Heavy duty rubber, front and rear. Trunk mat, full floor.

Gauges: To be equipped with ammeter or voltmeter, water temperature, and oil pressure gauges, located in instrument cluster. Any other installation location to be approved by the Michigan State Police.

Glass: All windows shall be heat absorbing (tinted) type.

Headlights: To be equipped with Quartz-Halogen headlights.

Keys: Four (4) keys to be furnished with each car.

License Plate Brackets: Vehicle shall be equipped with a front license plate bracket.

n and a star water the star and the star and the second star and the second star of the star of the star of the

Light: Combination dome and map, mounted on headliner on longitudinal centerline of vehicle approximately 25" from windshield garnish molding. Dome light controlled by rotating headlight switch to maximum C.C.W. position. Operation to be independent of other lights. Door jamb switches to be made inoperative. Map lights, controlled by individual integral switches, to direct a restricted beam of light to the driver and/or to the front seat passenger. Exact mounting position to be approved by the Michigan State Police.

Light: Engine and trunk compartments equipped with mercury switch.

Locks: Power door locks to be standard, factory installed. Power system to be operative from front driver and front passenger position. All locks on the car to be keved alike, a different key for each car.

Mirrors, Rearview:

Inside: Day/night type.

Outside: Installed on left-hand and right-hand doors. Rectangular design approximate size 5" x 3"; minimum viewing area of 15 square inches. Left side to be remote controlled type. Right and left side mirrors to be conventional type (not convex).

Paint Color: To be same as Dulux 93-032.

Pilot Inspection: Prior to the initial delivery of patrol vehicles, the manufacturer shall schedule a pilot model inspection in order to determine compliance with the specifications. The inspection shall be conducted at the point of vehicle assembly or a location mutually agreed upon. The manufacturer shall be responsible for all costs incurred (not to exceed six representatives from the State of Michigan).

Radio Noise Suppression: Vehicle shall be equipped with standard AM and police radio noise suppression package.

Radio Speaker(s): A permanent magnet speaker(s), either oval or round, to be mounted in the speaker opening(s) provided on the dash of the unit. Speaker(s) to be of a quality equal to automotive grade. Speaker leads connected to the speaker terminals, not grounded, shall be long enough to extend one foot beyond the center of the lower edge of the dash.

24

#### Mich. 3905-0010 September 1982

25

Mich. 3905-0010 September 1982

- One speaker installation - Voice coil impedance 8 ohms. power handling capacity 8 watts, minimum.

- Two speaker installation - Voice coil impedance 3.2 ohms, power handling capacity 8 watts, minimum.

Rear Window Defogger: Electrical grid type. Control to be within convenient reach of driver, control switch to be clearly marked as to function.

- Remote Control Rear Deck Lid Release: Control to be within convenient reach of the driver; in glove box not acceptable. Electric system wired independently of ignition switch. Bowden cable system not acceptable.
- Roof Top Reinforcement and Special Wiring: Install a steel plate at least 1/8" thick x 10" wide, to the underside of the top, centered on the longitudinal centerline of the roof panel. Plate is to extend from the windshield header to the first top cross member support and is to be welded at both ends. Drill one 1/2" hole through roof panel and reinforcing plate, approximately 19" from windshield molding on longitudinal centerline. Exact placement of hole to be approved by the Michigan State Police. Feed at least three insulated stranded wires (minimum of one #12 and two #16) through hole in roof and route directly to either side of top at a right angle to the longitudinal centerline, thence to corner post and down the inside of corner post. Wires to extend 18" above roof hole and a minimum of 36" beyond where they emerge at bottom of corner post. Top hole to be taped to prevent entry of water. Wires to be concealed between headlining and roof panel.
- Seat Assembly, Front: Split bench type, 60 (passenger side)/40 (driver's side) preferable, individually adjustable fore and aft, heavy duty interior construction designed for rugged police use, comfortable foam-padded seat cushions and backs.
- Seat Belts: Driver and right front passenger shoulder belt assembly to incorporate tension reliever and automatic release mechanism.
- Service Manuals: Vendor to supply three (3) service manuals at time of first vehicle delivery.
- Spare Tire: Tire and wheel to be mounted in trunk. Tire shall meet Michigan Specification 5260-S1, July 27, 1982.
- Speedometer: Shall be calibrated to within + 3 mph accuracy. Scale graduations to be linear and of 2 mph increments, 0-120 mph scale minimum.

Spotlights: Unity Model #225 (equipped with aircraft landing lamp 4537-2) to be mounted on left- and right-hand "A" Pillar. Left and right spotlights to be wired independent of ignition and individually fused with 10 amp capacity. Installation to be approved by the Michigan State Police.

Steering: Power steering, manufacturer to provide steering gear which affords maximum firm "feel" and fast return characteristics; designed for high speed pursuit type driving.

slip surface.

Suspension System, Police: To include heavy-duty springs, front and rear, in combination with heavy-duty shock absorbers, and front and rear heavy-duty stabilizer bars.

Technical Service Bulletin: Manufacturer to supply three (3) copies of all technical service bulletins covering vehicles purchased under this contract.

Tires: Tires to be Goodyear, Rayon Police Radials per State of Michigan Specification 5260-S1, July 27, 1982.

Tools: Wheel wrench and jack.

Transmission: To be 3- or 4-speed fully automatic, heaviest duty available. Must incorporate low gear lockout to prevent manual shifting.

Upholstery: Seats to be upholstered in cloth, or combination of cloth and vinyl (blue). All vinyl not acceptable.

Wheels: Heavy duty construction designed for police use. To be equipped with sealing type metal valve caps.

Windshield Washers: Automatic type.

Windshield Wipers: Multiple speed electric.

Wiring, Special: One 14 gauge insulated wire running from center under dash to rear center trunk area, leaving 4 feet of this wire extending under the dash and 3 feet extending in the trunk for mounting rear shelf lights. Flexible conduit not acceptable.

26

#### Mich. 3905-0010 September 1982

Steering Wheel: Tilt type steering wheel required, round with anti-

27

Mich. 3905-0010 September 1982

# QUALIFICATION TESTING

28

In order to qualify for bidding, all vehicles submitted by manufacturers must meet each of the following performance standards:

# . 1. ACCELERATION

0 - 60 MPH ---- 14.5 seconds or less 0 - 80 MPH ---- 26.0 seconds or less 0 - 100 MPH ---- 48.5 seconds or less

Each vehicle will make four acceleration runs, and the times for the four runs will be averaged.

О.a.

### 2. BRAKES

a. Test vehicles will be required to make four consecutive stops from 90 mph with a constant deceleration rate of 22 ft. per sec./per sec. maintained from 90 to 0 mph. Immediately following this brake heat-up procedure, a controlled impending skid stop will be made from 60 mph.

b. After a four-minute wait, test "a" will be repeated. Immediately following, each vehicle is required to complete a panic (all wheel lock) stop from 60 mph. Evidence of brake fade and ability of the vehicle to stop in a straight line within its own lane will be evaluated.

a de la companya de l La companya de la comp

# APPENDIX B

# MANUFACTURER VEHICLE SPECIFICATIONS

MAKE, MODEL, & SALES CODE NO
ENGINE DISPLACEMENT
CARBURETOR-EXHAUST
HORSEPOWER @ RPM (S.A.E. NET
TORQUE LBS. @ RPM
COMPRESSION RATIO
AXLE RATIO
STEERING
TURNING CIRCLE (CURB TO CURE
TIRE SIZE
SUSPENSION TYPE - FRONT
SUSPENSION TYPE - REAR
BRAKE-FRONT
BRAKE-REAR
OVERALL LENGTH
OVERALL HEIGHT
WEIGHT
WHEELBASE
HEAD ROOM - FRONT
HEAD ROOM - REAR
LEG ROOM - FRONT
LEG ROOM - REAR
SHOULDER ROOM - FRONT
SHOULDER ROOM — ŘEAR
HIP ROOM - FRONT
HIP ROOM - REAR
E.P.A. MILEAGE ESTIMATE
TRANSMISSION MODEL NUMBER
LOCK UP TORQUE CONVERT
A CONTRACTOR AND A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR AND A CONTRACTOR AND A CONTRACTOR AND A CONTRACTOR

# INFORMATIONAL HARDWARE DESCRIPTION

	CHEVROLET	IMPALA	1BL69	•
	350 CU. IN.	Ę	5.7	LITERS
	4 BBL	Single Exhau	ıst	
	155 @ 3600	R B		· · ·
	265 @ 1600			
	8.2:1			
	3.08:1			
	Power, Integral, Rec	irculating Ball	Nut	
	38.7 ft.		*	
	P225/70R15 0		à.	
	Independent, SLA Typ	e With Coil Spri	ings	
	Link Type, 2 Upper a	nd 2 Lower With	Coil Springs	
TYPE	Disc	<b>ŚWEPT AREA</b>	273.0	SQ. IN.
TYPE	Drum	SWEPT AREA	138.2 °	SQ. IN.
*	212.2 in.			
	56.4 in.	n an		
CURB	LBS.	TEST 3993		LBS.
ų	116.0 in.			
	39.5 in.	INTE		
	38.2 in.	Interior		
	42.2° in.	Front	58 1	ou fi
	39.1 in.	Poor	<u> </u>	CU II
	60.5 in.	Combined	110 3	CU II
	60.5 in.	Trunk	20.9	CU II
<u> </u>	55.0 in.	IIUIK	0 20.5	CU II
a.	55.3 in	Δ		
	HIGH	IWAY ar	COMBINED	

31

# **INFORMATIONAL HARDWARE DESCRIPTION**

MAKE, MODEL, & SALES CODE NO.

ENGINE DISPLACEMENT

<del>-</del>	<u>مند بن محمد محمد م</u>	and and a second se					
MAKE, MODEL, & SALES CODE NO.		DODGE		DIPLOMAT		G-L-41	2
ENGINE DISPLACEMENT		318	CU. IN.		5.2		LITERS
CARBURETOR-EXHAUST		4 BBL		Single Exha	ust		
HORSEPOWER @ RPM (S.A.E. NET)		165 @ 4000	· · · · ·	0			
TORQUE LBS. @ RPM		240 @ 2000		0			
COMPRESSION RATIO		8.5:1					an a
AXLE RATIO		2.94:1			16		
STEERING		Power - Firm	(15.7:1	Gear Ratio)			
TURNING CIRCLE (CURB TO CURB)		40.7 ft.					
TIRE SIZE		P215/70R15					
SUSPENSION TYPE FRONT		Independent, With Transve	Lateral rse Tors	, Non-Paralle ion Bars, Heav	l Contro /y Duty	ol Arms Shocks	
SUSPENSION TYPE - REAR		Semi-Ellipti	cal Leaf	Springs, Heav	y Duty	Shocks	
BRAKE—FRONT	TYPE	Disc		SWEPT AREA	204.5	р. До	SQ. IN
BRAKE-REAR	TYPE	Drum		SWEPT AREA	165.9		SQ. IN
OVERALL LENGTH		205.7 in.					
OVERALL HEIGHT		55.3 in.	an at sur stad. An an	The second s			
WEIGHT	CURB		LBS.	TEST 3887			LBS
WHEELBASE		112.7 in.				A.	
HEAD ROOM - FRONT		39.3 in.		INT	ERIOR \	/OLUME	4
HEAD ROOM — REAR		37.7 in.		Interior			
LEG ROOM - FRONT		42.5 in.		Front		54.1	cu f
LEG ROOM — REAR		36.6 in.		Rear		44.6	cu f
SHOULDER ROOM - FRONT		56.0 in.	ų	Combined		98.7	cu f
SHOULDER ROOM — REAR	•	55.9 in.		Trunk		15.6	cu f
HIP ROOM - FRONT	 	53.5 in.					
HIP ROOM — REAR		53.2 in.		0			
사이는 것은 전철님은 것 같은 것이 있는 것 같아요. 것은 것	CITY	1/	HIGH	IWAY	СОМ	BINED	

TYPE STOLEN BURGERS

CARBURETOR-EXHAUST HORSEPOWER @ RPM (S.A.E. NET) TORQUE LBS. @ RPM COMPRESSION RATIO AXLE RATIO STEERING TURNING CIRCLE (CURB TO CURB) TIRE SIZE SUSPENSION TYPE - FRONT SUSPENSION TYPE - REAR BRAKE-FRONT BRAKE-REAR OVERALL LENGTH **OVERALL HEIGHT** WEIGHT WHEELBASE HEAD ROOM - FRONT HEAD ROOM - REAR LEG ROOM - FRONT LEG ROOM - REAR SHOULDER ROOM - FRONT SHOULDER ROOM - REAR HIP ROOM - FRONT HIP ROOM - REAR E.P.A. MILEAGE ESTIMATE TRANSMISSION 4-Speed Automatic MODEL NUMBER LOCK UP TORQUE CONVERTER OVERDRIVE

INFORMATIONAL HARDWARE DESCRIPTION

					<u> </u>
	FORD	LTD (CV)	CROWN VICTORIA	P43	1 1 1 1
	351W H.O. С	U. IN.	4	5.8	LITERS
	Ford 7200 VV*		Dual Exhaus	t.	
	165 @ 3600				
	290 @ 2200				
	8.3:1				
	2.73:1				······································
	Recirculating Ba	a]] -	Power Steering	With Integral	Gear
	39.2 ft.				
	P225/70R15				
	Independent Para	allel	"A" Arms With	Coil Springs	
	4-Bar Link With	Coil	Springs		
TYPE	Disc		SWEPT AREA	228.7	SQ. IN.
TYPE	Drum		SWEPT AREA	157.1	SQ. IN.
	209.3 in.				
	54.7 in.				
CURB		LBS.	TEST 4059	e a	LBS.
	114.3 in.			ų.	
	37.9 in.		INTE		
	37.2 in.		Interior		
	42.1 in.		Front	57	cu ft
	40.7 in.		Rear	54	ou ft
	61.6 in.		Combined	111	
	61.6 in.		Trunk	22.4	cu ft
	61.0 in.				
	56.9 in.				
CITY M.P.G.	14	HIGH M.P.C	WAY a. 24	COMBINED M.P.G.	17
c Over	drive (AOD) PKA-AS5		*(2) Varia	ble Venturis	
YES YES	3 <u>X</u> NO 3 <u>X</u> NO				

33

# **INFORMATIONAL HARDWARE DESCRIPTION**

19 A. A.

4

254

.

MAKE, MODEL, & SALES CODE NO

MAKE, MODEL, & SALES CODE NO.		CHEVROLET		MALIBU	1GW69	
ENGINE DISPLACEMENT		305 CU.	. IN,		5.0	LITERS
CARBURETOR-EXHAUST		4 BBL		Single Exha	nust	
HORSEPOWER @ RPM (S.A.E. NET)		145 @ 4000				
TORQUE LBS. @ RPM		240 @ 1600				
COMPRESSION RATIO		8.6:1				
AXLE RATIO		2.73:1				
STEERING		Power, Integral,	Reci	irculating Ball	Nut	
TURNING CIRCLE (CURB TO CURB)		37.2 ft.				
TIRE SIZE		P205/70R14			,	
SUSPENSION TYPE - FRONT		Independent, SLA	With	n Coil Springs		
SUSPENSION TYPE - REAR		Link Type, 2 Uppe	er ar	nd 2 Lower With	Coil Springs	
BRAKE-FRONT	TYPE	Disc		SWEPT AREA	191.7	SQ. IN
BRAKE-REAR	TYPE	Drum		SWEPT AREA	116.1	SQ. IN
OVERALL LENGTH		192.7 in.				
OVERALL HEIGHT		55.7 in.				<b>*</b> • • •
WEIGHT	CURB	L	.BS.	TEST 3516		LBS
WHEELBASE		108.1 in.				
HEAD ROOM - FRONT		38.5 in.		INTE		
HEAD ROOM - REAR		37.6 in.		Interior		
LEG ROOM — FRONT		42.8 in.		Front	54.1	cu 1
LEG ROOM — REAR		38.0 in.		Rear	47.2	cu 1
SHOULDER ROOM - FRONT		56.7 in.		Combined	101.3	cu 1
SHOULDER ROOM - REAR		57.1 in.		Trunk	16.6	cu
HIP ROOM — FRONT		52.2 in.			a A	
HIP ROOM - REAR		55.6 in.	μ			
E.P.A. MILEAGE ESTIMATE	CITY M.P.G.	18	HIGH M.P.C	WAY 1. 26	COMBINED M.P.G.	21

ENGINE DISPLACEMENT CARBURETOR-EXHAUST HORSEPOWER @ RPM (S.A.E. NET) TORQUE LBS. @ RPM COMPRESSION RATIO AXLE RATIO STEERING TURNING CIRCLE (CURB TO CURB) TIRE SIZE SUSPENSION TYPE - FRONT SUSPENSION TYPE - REAR BRAKE-FRONT BRAKE-REAR OVERALL LENGTH **OVERALL HEIGHT** WEIGHT WHEELBASE HEAD ROOM - FRONT HEAD ROOM - REAR LEG ROOM - FRONT LEG ROOM - REAR SHOULDER ROOM - FRONT SHOULDER ROOM - REAR HIP ROOM - FRONT. HIP ROOM - REAR E.P.A. MILEAGE ESTIMATE TRANSMISSION MODEL NUMBER LOCK UP TORQUE CONVERTER OVERDRIVE

# **INFORMATIONAL HARDWARE DESCRIPTION**

	PLYMOUTH		GRAN FURY	B-L-41	
	318 CL	J, IN.	Ę	5.2	LITERS
	4 BBL		Single Exha	ust	
	165 @ 4000				
	240 @ 2000	- 			·
	8.5:1			,	
	2.94:1		<u> </u>		
	Power - Firm (15	5.7:1	Gear Ratio)		
	40,7 ft.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	P215/70R15		)		
	Independent, Lat With Transverse	eral Tors	, Non-Parallel ( ion Bars, Heavy	Control Arms Duty Shocks	
	Semi-Elliptical	Leaf	Springs, Heavy	Duty Shocks	
YPE	Disc		SWEPT AREA	204.5	SQ. IN
YPE	Drum		SWEPT AREA	165.9	SQ. IN
	205.7 in.				
	55.3 in.	· · · · ·			
URB		LBS.	TEST 3881		LBS
	112.7 in.			4	
	39.3 in.		INTEE		
	37.7 in.		Interior		
	42.5 in.		Front	54.	1 cu f
	36.6 in.		Bear	44_	6 f
	56.0 in.		Combined	98	7 ou f
	55.9 in.		Trunk	15	0u 1 6 ou f
	53.5 in.				
	53.2 in.			3	<del></del>
ITY .P.G.	14	HIGH M.P.C	IWAY 3. 21	COMBINED M.P.G.	16
YE	A727 S X NO				

35

<u>\_\_\_\_NO\_X</u> YES. 

 $\mathcal{D}$ 

# INFORMATIONAL HARDWARE DESCRIPTION

MAKE, MODEL, & SALES CODE NO.

ENGINE DISPLACEMENT

MAKE, MODEL, & SALES CODE NO.		FORD	LTD ( (CV)	CROWN VICTORIA	P43	
INGINE DISPLACEMENT		302	CU. IN.	5	5.0	LITERS
CARBURETOR-EXHAUST	·	CFI		Single Exhau	ıst	
HORSEPOWER @ RPM (S.A.E. NET)		130 @ 3200				
FORQUE LBS. @ RPM		240 @ 2000				
COMPRESSION RATIO		8.4:1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
AXLE RATIO		3.08:1				 
STEERING		Recirculatin	ng Ball -	Power Steering	With Integral	Gear
TURNING CIRCLE (CURB TO CURB)		39.2 ft.	<u>.</u>			
TIRE SIZE		P225/70R15				
SUSPENSION TYPE - FRONT		Independent	Parallel	"A" Arms With (	Coil Springs	
SUSPENSION TYPE - REAR		4-Bar Link I	With Coil	Springs		
BRAKE-FRONT	TYPE	Disc		SWEPT AREA	228.7	SQ. IN
3RAKE-REAR	TYPE	Drum		SWEPT AREA	157.1	SQ. IN
OVERALL LENGTH		209.3 in				
OVERALL HEIGHT		54.7 in				
WEIGHT	CURB		LBS.	TEST 3892		LBS
WHEELBASE	 	114.3 in.				
HEAD ROOM — FRONT		37.9 .in.		INTEF		
HEAD ROOM - REAR		37.2 in.		Interior		
		42 1 in			57	cu f
LEG ROOM — FRONT	<u> </u>	TC.T 111.		Front		
		40.7 in.		Front Rear	54	cu f
LEG ROOM — FRONT LEG ROOM — REAR SHOULDER ROOM — FRONT		40.7 in. 61.6 in.		Front Rear Combined	<u>54</u> 111	cu f cu f
LEG ROOM — FRONT LEG ROOM — REAR SHOULDER ROOM — FRONT SHOULDER ROOM — REAR		40.7 in. 61.6 in. 61.6 in.		Front Rear Combined Trunk	<u>54</u> <u>111</u> 22.4	cu f cu f cu f
LEG ROOM — FRONT LEG ROOM — REAR SHOULDER ROOM — FRONT SHOULDER ROOM — REAR HIP ROOM — FRONT		40.7 in. 61.6 in. 61.6 in. 61.6 in. 61.0 in.		Front Rear Combined Trunk	<u>54</u> <u>111</u> <u>22.4</u>	cu f cu f cu f
LEG ROOM — FRONT LEG ROOM — REAR SHOULDER ROOM — FRONT SHOULDER ROOM — REAR HIP ROOM — FRONT HIP ROOM — REAR		40.7 in. 61.6 in. 61.6 in. 61.0 in. 56.9 in.		Front Rear Combined Trunk	<u>54</u> <u>111</u> <u>22.4</u>	cu 1 cu 1 cu f

CARBURETOR-EXHAUST	-
HORSEPOWER @ RPM (S.A.E. NET)	
TORQUE LBS. @ RPM	
COMPRESSION RATIO	
AXLE RATIO	
STEERING	
TURNING CIRCLE (CURB TO CURB)	
TIRE SIZE	
SUSPENSION TYPE - FRONT	
SUSPENSION TYPE - REAR	
BRAKE-FRONT	TY
BRAKE—REAR	TY
OVERALL LENGTH	
OVERALL HEIGHT	
WEIGHT	CU
WHEELBASE	
HEAD ROOM - FRONT	
HEAD ROOM REAR	
LEG ROOM - FRONT	
LEG ROOM - REAR	
SHOULDER ROOM - FRONT	
SHOULDER ROOM - REAR	
HIP ROOM - FRONT	
HIP ROOM - REAR	Ι
	C

E.P.A. MILEAGE ESTIMATE

TRANSMISSION MODEL NUMBER LOCK UP TORQUE CONVERTER OVERDRIVE

# **INFORMATIONAL HARDWARE DESCRIPTION**

the second s	وأعدادته الكرية وبمنتكرا الهويوج فبالتهي	المتشاد الباد والالا بهزمانا المرج ببنينا ومعادي والمتحد والمتحد		
CHEVROLET		IMPALA	1BL69	
229	CU. IN.		3.8 L	ITERS
2 BBL		Single Exhau	st	
110 @ 4000				
170 @ 2000			·	
8.6:1			6	
2.73:1		·		
Power, Integ	ral, Rec	irculating Ball	Nut	
38.7 ft.				
P205/75R15				r.,
Independent,	SLA Typ	e With Coil Spr	ings	
Link Type, 2	Upper a	nd 2 Lower With	Coil Springs	
Disc	<b>(</b>	SWEPT AREA	237.0	SQ. IN
Drum		SWEPT AREA	138.2	SQ. IN
212.2 in.			*	
56.4 in.			13	
	LBS.	TEST	3713	LBS
116.0 in.				
39.5 in.		INTE		
38.2 in.		Interior		
42.2 in.		Front	58.1	cu
39.1 in.		Rear	52.2	cu
60.5 in.		Combined	110.3	cu
60.5 in.		Trunk	20.9	- cu
55.0 in.				
55.3 in.				
C <i>f</i>	HIG	HWAY	COMBINED	
	CHEVROLET 229 2 BBL 110 @ 4000 170 @ 2000 8.6:1 2.73:1 Power, Integ 38.7 ft. P205/75R15 Independent, Link Type, 2 Disc Drum 212.2 in. 56.4 in. 116.0 in. 39.5 in. 38.2 in. 42.2 in. 39.1 in. 60.5 in. 55.0 in. 55.3 in.	CHEVROLET 229 CU.IN. 2 BBL 110 @ 4000 170 @ 2000 8.6:1 2.73:1 Power, Integral, Rec 38.7 ft. P205/75R15 Independent, SLA Typ Link Type, 2 Upper a Disc Drum 212.2 in. 56.4 in. LBS. 116.0 in. 39.5 in. 38.2 in. 42.2 in. 39.1 in. 60.5 in. 55.0 in.	CHEVROLET       IMPALA         229       CU.IN.         2 BBL       Single Exhaus         110 @ 4000       170 @ 2000         8.6:1       2.73:1         Power, Integral, Recirculating Ball         38.7 ft.         P205/75R15         Independent, SLA Type With Coil Spr         Link Type, 2 Upper and 2 Lower With         Disc       SWEPT AREA         Drum       SWEPT AREA         212.2 in.       56.4 in.         56.4 in.       Interior         39.5 in.       INTE         38.2 in.       Interior         42.2 in.       Front         39.1 in.       Rear         60.5 in.       Trunk         55.0 in.       Trunk	CHEVROLET         IMPALA         IBL69           229         CU.IN.         3.8         L           2 BBL         Single Exhaust         110 0 4000         170 0 2000           170 0 2000         8.6:1         2.73:1         110 0 4000         170 0 2000           8.6:1         2.73:1         Power, Integral, Recirculating Ball Nut         38.7 ft.         110 0 2007           Power, Integral, Recirculating Ball Nut         38.7 ft.         110 0 2007         110 0 2007           Power, Integral, Recirculating Ball Nut         38.7 ft.         110 0 2007         110 0 2007           Power, Integral, Recirculating Ball Nut         38.7 ft.         110 0 2007         110 0 2007           Power, Integral, Recirculating Ball Nut         38.7 ft.         110 0 2007         110 0 2007           P205/75R15         Independent, SLA Type With Coil Springs         110 0 2007         110 0 2007           Disc         SWEPT AREA 237.0         138.2         138.2         138.2           Drum         SWEPT AREA 138.2         138.2         139.1         110 0 2007           39.5 in.         INTERIOR VOLUME         Interior         110 0 2007           42.2 in.         Front         58.1         10 0 2007           39.1 in.         Rear

87

and the second secon

YES\_ YES.

38

# INFORMATIONAL HARDWARE DESCRIPTION

MAKE, MODEL, & SALES CODE NO.		DODGE		DIPLOMAT	G-L-41	
ENGINE DISPLACEMENT		225	CU.IN.		3.7	LITERS
CARBURETOR-EXHAUST		1 BBL		Single Exha	ust	
HORSEPOWER @ RPM (S.A.E. NET)		90 @ 3600				
TORQUE LBS. @ RPM		165 @ 1600	<u>م</u> نغ 			
COMPRESSION RATIO		8.4:1				
AXLE RATIO		2.94:1				
STEERING		Power - Firm	(15.7:1	Gear Ratio)		
TURNING CIRCLE (CURB TO CURB)		40.7 ft.				
TIRE SIZE		P215/70R15			<u> </u>	
SUSPENSION TYPE - FRONT		Independent, Transverse To	Lateral rsion Ba	, Non-Parallel <u>ars, Heavy Dut</u>	Control Arms ty Shocks	With
SUSPENSION TYPE - REAR		Semi-Elliptic	al Leaf	Springs, Heavy	y Duty Shocks	
BRAKE—FRONT	TYPE	Disc		SWEPT AREA	204.5	SQ, IN
BRAKE-REAR	TYPE	Drum		SWEPT AREA	165.9	SQ. IN
OVERALL LENGTH		205.7 in.				
OVERALL HEIGHT		55.3 in.				
WEIGHT	CURB		LBS.	TEST	3688	LBS
WHEELBASE		112.7 in.	5			£6
HEAD ROOM - FRONT	n Norder 7	39.3 in.		INTE		
HEAD ROOM — REAR		37.7 in.		Interior		
LEG ROOM - FRONT		42.5 in.		Front	54.	<u>1</u> cu f
LEG ROOM - REAR		36.6 in.		Rear	44.	<u>6</u> cu f
SHOULDER ROOM - FRONT		56.0 in.	•	Combined	98.	7 cu f
SHOULDER ROOM — REAR		55.9 in.	c	Trunk	15.	<u>6</u> cu f
HIP ROOM — FRONT		53.5 in.				
HIP ROOM - REAR		53.2 in.			Ø	
	CITY	19	HIGH	HWAY 25		21

market the second stands with the

MAKE, MODEL, & SALES CODE NO.	
ENGINE DISPLACEMENT	
CARBURETOR-EXHAUST	
HORSEPOWER @ RPM (S.A.E. NET)	
TORQUE LBS. @ RPM	
COMPRESSION RATIO	
AXLE RATIO	
STEERING	
TURNING CIRCLE (CURB TO CURB)	
TIRE SIZE	
SUSPENSION TYPE - FRONT	
SUSPENSION TYPE - REAR	
BRAKE-FRONT	TY
BRAKE-REAR	ΤY
OVERALL LENGTH	
OVERALL HEIGHT	
WEIGHT	С
WHEELBASE	
HEAD ROOM - FRONT	
HEAD ROOM — REAR	
LEG ROOM - FRONT	
LEG ROOM - REAR	1
SHOULDER ROOM - FRONT	
SHOULDER ROOM - REAR	
HIP ROOM - FRONT	
HIP ROOM - REAR	
E.P.A. MILEAGE ESTIMATE	M.
TRANSMISSION 3-Speed Auton MODEL NUMBER LOCK UP TORQUE CONVERTER OVERDRIVE	iati <b>R</b>

Street out of the second

A Shill a the South

# INFORMATIONAL HARDWARE DESCRIPTION

	FORD	FAI	RMONT FUTURA	P-36	
:	200	CU. IN.		3.3	LITERS
	1 BBL		Single Exha	ust	·
	92 @ 3800				
	156 @ 1800				
	8.6:1				
	2.73:1				
	Rack and Pin	ion		<u> </u>	
	39.5 ft.				
	P205/70R14				
·	Hybrid McPhe	rson Stri	ıt		
	4-Bar Link W	ith Coil	Spring		
ъЕ	Disc		SWEPT AREA	176.6	SQ. IN
ΣE	Drum		SWEPT AREA	110.0	SQ. IN
	204.3 in.		<b></b>		
	55.5 în.	<u>k</u>			
RB	*** <u>**********************************</u>	LBS.	TEST	2872	LBS
	105.5 in.		<b></b>	ų	
	39.3 in.				
	37.7 in.				
	42.7 in.		Front	53	ou f
	37.8 in.		Roar	43	00 1
<u>. 6.</u>	55.7 in.		Combined	96	
<del>نېږېد د</del> :	55.7 in.		Trunk	17	
	57.2 in.		H UTIK		UU I
	57.0 in		<b></b>		<del>dan yan dan yan da</del> Kata yang kata Kata yang kata ya
Y	19 -	HIGH	IWAY 24	COMBINED	21
. <u></u> c ((	C512) - Lockir	ng Torque	Converter	4 ()	
YE	<u>PEN-C</u> SX_NO				
YE	S NO_ <u>X</u>				1999) 1999 - State State (* 1997) 1999 - State State (* 1997)

# INFORMATIONAL HARDWARE DESCRIPTION

MAKE, MODEL, & SALES CODE NO.		FORD	FAI	RMONT FUTURA	P-36	
ENGINE DISPLACEMENT	-	1,40	CU. IN.		2.3	LITERS
CARBURETOR-EXHAUST	A	1 BBL		Single Exhau	ıst	
HORSEPOWER @ RPM (S.A.E. NET)		90 @ 4600				: 
TORQUE LBS. @ RPM	·	122 @ 2600				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
COMPRESSION RATIO		9.1:1				
AXLE RATIO		3.08:1		<u> </u>	ñ 19	
STEERING		Rack and Pin	ion			
TURNING CIRCLE (CURB TO CURB)		39,5 ft.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			ð .
TIRE SIZE		P205/70R14				
SUSPENSION TYPE - FRONT		Hybrid McPhe	rson Str	ut	<u> </u>	
SUSPENSION TYPE - REAR		4-Bar Link W	ith Coil	Spring		
BRAKE-FRONT	TYPE	Disc		SWEPT AREA	176.6	SQ. IN
BRAKE—REAR	TYPE	Drum		SWEPT AREA	110.0	SQ. IN
OVERALL LENGTH	łz	204.3 in.		a 		
OVERALL HEIGHT		55.5 in.			<b>e</b>	
WEIGHT	CURB	2 <b>.</b>	LBS.	TEST	2979	LBS
WHEELBASE		105.5 in.			n an an Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna	
HEAD ROOM - FRONT		39.3 in.		INTE		
HEAD ROOM - REAR		37.7 in.		Interior		
LEG ROOM - FRONT		42.7 in.		Front	53	cu 1
LEG ROOM - REAR		37.8 in.		Rear	43	cu 1
SHOULDER ROOM FRONT		55.7 in.		Combined	96	cu l
SHOULDER ROOM - REAR		55.7 in.	مرادي والمراجع	Trunk	17	cu 1
HIP ROOM - FRONT		57.2 in.				
HIP ROOM — REAR		57.0 in.			<u> </u>	N
	CITY	21	HIGI	HWAY		24

and a second in the

Service Street Street

.....

MAKE, MODEL, & SALES CODE NO.	
ENGINE DISPLACEMENT	
CARBURETOR-EXHAUST	
HORSEPOWER @ RPM (S.A.E. NET)	
TORQUE LBS. @ RPM	
COMPRESSION RATIO	
AXLE RATIO	
STEERING	
TURNING CIRCLE (CURB TO CURB)	
TIRE SIZE	
SUSPENSION TYPE - FRONT	
SUSPENSION TYPE - REAR	
BRAKE-FRONT	TYF
BRAKE-REAR	TYF
OVERALL LENGTH	
OVERALL HEIGHT	
WEIGHT	CU
WHEELBASE	
HEAD ROOM - FRONT	
HEAD ROOM - REAR	
LEG ROOM FRONT	
SHOULDER ROOM - FRONT	
SHOULDER ROOM — REAR	 
HIP ROOM - FRONT	
HIP ROOM - REAR	
E.P.A. MILEAGE ESTIMATE	M.F
TRANSMISSION MODEL NUMBER LOCK UP TORQUE CONVERTER	۰ ۲

# INFORMATIONAL HARDWARE DESCRIPTION

41

INFORMATIONAL HARDWARE DESCRIPTION

۰. <u>۲</u>

÷

# INFORMATIONA

MAKE, MODEL, & SALES CODE NO.	FORD	MUSTANG P-26,28
ENGINE DISPLACEMENT	302 H.O. CU.IN.	5.0 LITERS
CARBURETOR-EXHAUST	4 BBL	Single Exhaust
HORSEPOWER @ RPM (S.A.E. NET)	175 @ 4600	
TORQUE LBS. @ RPM	245 @ 2400	
COMPRESSION RATIO	8.4:1	
AXLE RATIO	3.08:1	
STEERING	Rack and Pinion	
TURNING CIRCLE (CURB TO CURB)	37.36 ft.	
TIRE SIZE	P205/70R14	
SUSPENSION TYPE - FRONT	Hybrid McPherson Str	ut - Coil Springs
SUSPENSION TYPE - REAR	4-Bar Link With Coil	Springs
BRAKE-FRONT	TYPE Disc	SWEPT AREA 176.6 SQ. IN.
BRAKE-REAR	TYPE Drum	SWEPTAREA 110.0 SQ. IN.
OVERALL LENGTH	179.1 in	
OVERALL HEIGHT	51.9 in	
WEIGHT	CURB LBS.	TEST 2970 LBS.
WHEELBASE	100.4 in.	0
HEAD ROOM - FRONT	37.2 in.	
HEAD ROOM - REAR	35.9 in.	
LEG ROOM - FRONT	41.7 in.	Front N/A cu ft
LEG ROOM — REAR	29.7 in.	Rear N/A cu ft
SHOULDER ROOM - FRONT	55.8 in.	Combined 84 cu ft
SHOULDER ROOM - REAR	₅ 54.3 in.	Trunk <sup>8</sup> cu ft
HIP ROOM - FRONT	55.9 in.	0 9 9 0
HIP ROOM - REAR	47.1 in.	
E.P.A. MILEAGE ESTIMATE	M.P.G. 17 HIGH	IWAY COMBINED 3. 28 M.P.G. 21
TRANSMISSION Manual 4-Spee MODEL NUMBER LOCK UP TORQUE CONVERTER OVERDRIVE	d Overdrive <u>RUG-EM</u> YESNO_X YES_XNO	

MAKE, MODEL, & SALES CODE NO.	
ENGINE DISPLACEMENT	
CARBURETOR-EXHAUST	
HORSEPOWER @ RPM (S.A.E. NET)	
TORQUE LBS. @ RPM	41 
COMPRESSION RATIO	
AXLE RATIO	
STEERING	
TURNING CIRCLE (CURB TO CURB)	
TIRE SIZE	
SUSPENSION TYPE - FRONT	0
SUSPENSION TYPE - REAR	
BRAKE-FRONT	TYPE
BRAKE-REAR	TYPE
OVERALL LENGTH	
OVERALL HEIGHT	
WEIGHT	CURB
WHEELBASE	
HEAD ROOM — FRONT	
HEAD ROOM - REAR	
LEG ROOM - FRONT	
LEG ROOM - REAR	
SHOULDER ROOM - FRONT	
SHOULDER ROOM - REAR	
HIP ROOM REAR	
E.P.A. MILEAGE ESTIMATE	M.P.G.
TRANSMISSION MODEL NUMBER LOCK UP TORQUE CONVERTER OVERDRIVE	A YES YES

U

CHEVROLET	IMPALA	1BL69	
350 CU. IN.		5.7	LITERS
4 BBL	Single Exha	ust	
165 @ 4000	ан байлан алан алан алан алан алан алан алан		
260 @ 2000	······································		
8.2:1			
3.08:1			
Power, Integral, Rec	irculating Ball	Nut	
38.7 ft.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
P225/70R15			
Independent, SLA Typ	e With Coil Spr	rings	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Link Type, 2 Upper a	nd 2 Lower With	Coil Springs	
Disc	SWEPT AREA	237.0	SQ. IN.
Disc Drum	SWEPT AREA	237.0	SQ. IN. SQ. IN.
Disc Drum 212.2 in.	SWEPT AREA SWEPT AREA	237.0 138.2	SQ. IN. SQ. IN.
Disc Drum 212.2 in. 56.4 in	SWEPT AREA	237.0 138.2	SQ. IN. SQ. IN.
Disc Drum 212.2 in. 56.4 in LBS.	SWEPT AREA SWEPT AREA TEST	237.0 138.2 3839	SQ. IN. SQ. IN. LBS.
Disc Drum 212.2 in. 56.4 in LBS. 116.0 in.	SWEPT AREA SWEPT AREA TEST	237.0 138.2 3839	SQ. IN. SQ. IN. LBS.
Disc Drum 212.2 in. 56.4 in LBS. 116.0 in. 39.5 in.	SWEPT AREA SWEPT AREA TEST	237.0 138.2 3839 RIOR VOLUME	SQ. IN. SQ. IN. LBS.
Disc Drum 212.2 in. 56.4 in LBS. 116.0 in. 39.5 in. 38.2 in.	SWEPT AREA SWEPT AREA TEST INTE	237.0 138.2 3839 RIOR VOLUME	SQ. IN. SQ. IN. LBS.
Disc Drum 212.2 in. 56.4 in LBS. 116.0 in. 39.5 in. 38.2 in. 42.2 in.	SWEPT AREA SWEPT AREA TEST INTE Interior Front	237.0 138.2 3839 RIOR VOLUME 58.	SQ. IN. SQ. IN. LBS.
Disc Drum 212.2 in. 56.4 in LBS. 116.0 in. 39.5 in. 38.2 in. 42.2 in. 39.1 in.	SWEPT AREA SWEPT AREA TEST INTE Interior Front Rear	237.0 138.2 3839 RIOR VOLUME <u>58.</u> 52.2	SQ. IN. SQ. IN. LBS.
Disc Drum 212.2 in. 56.4 in LBS. 116.0 in. 39.5 in. 38.2 in. 42.2 in. 39.1 in. 60.5 in.	SWEPT AREA SWEPT AREA TEST INTE Interior Front Rear Combined	237.0 138.2 3839 RIOR VOLUME <u>58.</u> <u>52.2</u> 110.3	<u>SQ. IN.</u> <u>SQ. IN.</u> <u>LBS.</u> <u>LBS.</u> <u>cu ft</u> <u>cu ft</u>
Disc Drum 212.2 in. 56.4 in LBS. 116.0 in. 39.5 in. 38.2 in. 42.2 in. 39.1 in. 60.5 in. 60.5 in.	SWEPT AREA SWEPT AREA TEST INTE Interior Front Rear Combined Trunk	237.0 138.2 3839 RIOR VOLUME 58.2 52.2 110.3 20.9	SQ. IN. SQ. IN. LBS. LBS. Cu ft Cu ft Cu ft Cu ft
Disc Drum 212.2 in. 56.4 in LBS. 116.0 in. 39.5 in. 38.2 in. 42.2 in. 39.1 in. 60.5 in. 55.0 in.	SWEPT AREA SWEPT AREA TEST INTE Interior Front Rear Combined Trunk	237.0 138.2 3839 RIOR VOLUME 58. 52.2 110.3 20.9	SQ. IN. SQ. IN. LBS. LBS. Cu ft Cu ft Cu ft
Disc Drum 212.2 in. 56.4 in LBS. 116.0 in. 39.5 in. 39.5 in. 38.2 in. 42.2 in. 39.1 in. 60.5 in. 55.0 in. 55.0 in.	SWEPT AREA SWEPT AREA TEST INTE Interior Front Rear Combined Trunk	237.0 138.2 3839 RIOR VOLUME 58. 52.2 110.3 20.9	SQ. IN. SQ. IN. LBS. LBS. Cu ft Cu ft Cu ft

# INFORMATIONAL HARDWARE DESCRIPTION

CANADIAN

MAKE, MODEL, & SALES CODE NO.		CHEVROLET		MALIBU	1GW69	
ENGINE DISPLACEMENT		305	CU. IN.	\$	5.0	LITERS
CARBURETOR-EXHAUST		4 BBL		Single	Exhaust	
HORSEPOWER @ RPM (S.A.E. NET)		145 @ 4000				
TORQUE LBS. @ RPM		240 @ 1600		o		
COMPRESSION RATIO		8.6:1	ù			
AXLE RATIO		2.73:1		a da anti-		\$ Y
STEERING		Power, Inte	gral, Rec	irculating E	Sall Nut	2
TURNING CIRCLE (CURB TO CURB)		37.2 ft.				
TIRE SIZE		P205/70R14		41 41	4	
SUSPENSION TYPE - FRONT		Independent	, SLA Wit	h Coil Sprin	Igs	
SUSPENSION TYPE - REAR		Link Type,	2 Upper a	nd 2 Lower W	lith Coil Springs	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
BRAKE-FRONT	TYPE	Disc		SWEPT AREA	. 191.7	SQ. IN.
BRAKE—REAR	TYPE	Drum	u.	SWEPT AREA	116.1	SQ. IN.
OVERALL LENGTH		192.7 in.		n es		
OVERALL HEIGHT		55.7 in.			0 Ş	
WEIGHT	CURB		LBS.	TEST	3443	LBS.
WHEELBASE		108.1 in.				
HEAD ROOM - FRONT		38.5 in.	4	I IN		
HEAD ROOM - REAR		37.6 in.	5	Interior		
LEG ROOM - FRONT	<u>k</u>	42.8 in.	-	Front	54.1	cu ft
LEG ROOM - REAR		38.0 in.		Rear	47.2	cu ft
SHOULDER ROOM - FRONT		56.7 in.		Combine	d <u>101.3</u>	cu ft
SHOULDER ROOM - REAR		57.1 in.		Trunk	16.6	cu ft
HIP ROOM - FRONT		52.2 in.	2			0 p
HIP ROOM - REAR		55.6 in.			gi qi	
E.P.A. MILEAGE ESTIMATE	CITY M.P.G.	<b>Ø</b>	HIGH	IWAY G.	COMBINED M.P.G.	
TRANSMISSION MODEL NUMBER LOCK UP TORQUE CONVERTER OVERDRIVE	YES YES	350c 3_XNO 3NO_X			a a	

# APPENDIX C

VEHICLE ACCELERATION DATA

TEST LOCATION Chrysler Proving Grounds

and the state of the second

Selle to the

 $\odot \circ$ 

NIND VELOCITY       8 mph       WIND DIRECTION       320°       TEMPERATURE       52°         MAKE & MODEL       Chevrolet       Impala       BEGINNING TIME       9:48       AM         (350-4V)       (350-4V)       (350-4V)       (350-4V)       (350-4V)							
SPEEDS	TIME* REQUIREMENT	RUN #1	RUŇ #2	RUN #3	RUN #4	AVERAGE	
0-60	14.5 Seconds	11.15	11.74	. 11.83	11.96	11.67	
0 - 80	26.0 Seconds	20.88	23.03	21.67	22.78	22.09	
0-100	48.5 Seconds	<sub>.</sub> 38.44	46.40	40.35	44.85	42.51	

WIND VE MAKE &	LOCITY <u>6</u> MODEL <u>Dodge</u> (318-	<u>mph</u> W <u>Diplomat</u> 4V)	IND DIRECTIO	N <u>330°</u> IING TIME	TEMPERA 10:22	TURE <u>53°</u> AM
SPEEDS	TIME* REQUIREMENT	RUN #1	RUN #2	RUN #3	RUN #4	AVERAGE
0-60	14.5 Seconds	12.61	13.00	12.76	12.85	12.81
0 - 80	26.0 Seconds	21.22	22.87	21.29	22.99	22,09
0-100	48.5 Seconds	36.79	43.86	38.03	43.16	40,46

DISTANCE TO REACH 100 MPH MINIMUM .77 mile TOP SPEED ATTAINED 118.8 MPH \*Michigan State Police minimum requirements

Preceding page blank

# **ACCELERATION & TOP SPEED TESTS**

DATE September 18, 1982

47

# ACCELERATION

WIND DIRECTION	320°	TEMPERATURE	520
Dala BEGINNIN	G TIME	9:48	_AM

# TOP SPEED

DISTANCE TO REACH 100 MPH MINIMUM .84 mile TOP SPEED ATTAINED 115.0 MPH

# ACCELERATION

# TOP SPEED

DATE September 18, 1982

TEST LOCATION Chrysler Proving Grounds

Anne and a second s Second second

# ACCELERATION

WIND VELOCITY	8 mphWIND	DIRECTION	TEMPI	ERATURE 54	0
MAKE & MODEL	Ford LTD Crown	BEGINNING TIME	10:52	AN	A
MARL & MODEL	Victoria S (351-VV)		6		

SPEEDS	TIME* REQUIREMENT	RUN #1	RUN #2	RUN #3	RUN #4	AVERAGE
0 - 60	14.5 Seconds	11.91	12.62	12.21	12.15	12.22
0-80	26.0 Seconds	20.75	22.46	20.56	21.64	21.35
0-100	48.5 Seconds	37.29	43.10	36.79	42.07	39.81

### TOP SPEED

DISTANCE TO REACH 100 MPH MINIMUM .77 mile TOP SPEED ATTAINED 117.9 MPH

		ACCELERATION			
WIND VELOCITY	10 mph	WIND DIRECTION	340°	TEMPERATURE_	<u>56°</u>
MAKE & MODEL	Chevrolet M	alibu BEGINNING	TIME	11:20	_AM
	(305-4V)	6			

SPEEDS	TIME* REQUIREMENT	RUN #1	RUN #2	RUN #3	RUN #4	AVERAGE
0-60	14.5 Seconds	11.24	11.76	11.80	12:04	11.71
0-80	26.0 Seconds	20.56	22.88	20.78	22.57	21.70
0-100	48.5 Seconds	38.29	43.96	37.95	42.71	40:73

# TOP SPEED

DISTANCE TO REACH 100 MPH MINIMUM .79 mile TOP SPEED ATTAINED 116.3 MPH

\*Michigan State Police minimum requirements

# **ACCELERATION & TOP SPEED TESTS**

# TEST LOCATION Chrysler Proving Grounds

SPEEDS	TIME* REQUIREMENT	RUN #1	RUN #2	RUN #3	RUN #4	AVERAGE
MAKE &	MODEL Plymo (318-	outh Gran Fur -4V)	<u>У</u> BEGINN	ING TIME	11:47	AM
WIND VI		win win	D DIRECTIO	N	TEMPERA	TURE 50°

SPEEDS	TIME* REQUIREMENT	RUN #1	RUN #2	RUN #3	RUN #4	AVERAGE
0 - 60	14.5 Seconds	12.15	12.61	12.11	12.64	12.38
0-80	26.0 Seconds	19.66	21.65	20.54	22.35	21.05
0-100	48.5 Seconds	36.73	41.84	36.37	43.77	39.68

WIND VELOCITY 4 mph WIND DIRECTION 330°TEMPERATURE MAKE & MODEL Ford LTD Crown BEGINNING TIME 1:06 Victoria S (302-CFI)						
SPEEDS	TIME* REQUIREMENT	RUN #1	RUN #2	RUN #3	RUN #4	AVERAGE
0-60	14.5 Seconds	14.27	13.91	13.85	13.72	13.94
0-80	26.0 Seconds	26.19	26.62	25.43	27.13	26.34
0-100	48.5 Seconds	61.93	92,24	54.59	67.26	69.01

\*Michigan State Police minimum requirements

48

DATE September 18, 1982

# ACCELERATION

TOP SPEED

DISTANCE TO REACH 100 MPH MINIMUM .76 mile TOP SPEED ATTAINED 120.0 MPH

ACCELERATION			
WIND DIRECTION	330°	TEMPERATURE	59°
WN BEGINNING	TIME	1:06	_ PN
302-CFT)			

# TOP SPEED

11

DISTANCE TO REACH 100 MPH MINIMUM 1.49 milesTOP SPEED ATTAINED 104.4 MPH

# ACCELERATION & TOP SPEED TESTS

DATE September 18, 1982 TEST LOCATION Chrysler Proving Grounds

-θ <sup>- 4</sup>

2 - A

WIND VE MAKE &	LOCITY1 MODEL <u>Ford</u> (302-	<u>4 mph</u> WII Mustang -4V)	ND DIRECTIOI	N330°	TEMPERA 12:20	rure <u>58</u> 0 Pi
SPEEDS	TIME* REQUIREMENT	RUN #1	RUN #2	RUN #3	RUN #4	AVERAGE
0-60	14.5 Seconds	8.62	8.13	8.21	8.32	8.32
0 - 80	26.0 Seconds	14.68	14.02	13.86	14.34	14.23
0-100	48.5 Seconds	22.61	22.80	21.70	23.71	22.71

### TOP SPEED

DISTANCE TO REACH 100 MPH MINIMUM .41 mile TOP SPEED ATTAINED 132.0 MPH

WIND VE MAKE &	LOCITY7 MODEL <u>Plym</u> (2.61	<u>mph</u> WIN outh Reliant 2V)	ACCELERAT	ION 300° ING TIME	TEMPERA 9:16	TURE52 <sup>0</sup> AM
SPEEDS	TIME REQUIREMENT	RUN #1	RUN #2	RUN #3	RUN #4	AVERAGE
0 - 60		15.03	16.11	14.96	15.85	15.49
0-80		30.63	34.87	29.05	33.45	32.00
0-90		45.90	53.30	45.53	52.97	49.43

### TOP SPEED

DISTANCE TO REACH 90 MPH MINIMUM \_\_\_\_\_ 90 mile TOP SPEED ATTAINED \_\_\_\_\_ 102.8 MPH

\*Michigan State Police minimum requirements

# TEST LOCATION Chrysler Proving Grounds

	WIND VE MAKE &	MODEL Chevro	nphWIN Diet Impala	ID DIRECTION	330°	TEMPERA 1:36	TUREPM
4).	SPEEDS	TIME	RUN #1	RUN #2	RUN #3	RUN #4	AVERAGE
			17 17	17 /0	17 63	17 30	17 /0

SPEEDS	TIME REQUIREMENT	RUN #1	RUN #2	RUN #3	RUN #4	AVERAGE
0 - 60		17.17	17.40	17.63	17.39	17.40
. 0 - 80		34.24	35.00	35.08	34.66	34.75
0-90		50.36	54.19	49.46	52.26	51.57

SPEEDS	TIME REQUIREMENT	RUN #1	RUN #2	RUN #3	RUN #4	AVERAGE
0 - 60		18.87	19.10	18.63	18.41	18.75
0 - 80		41.43	43.29	39.82	42.52	41.77
0-90	de la construcción de la	66.01	88.90	60.00	82.35	74.32

DISTANCE TO REACH 90 MPH MINIMUM 1.43 miles TOP SPEED ATTAINED 96.5 \_MPH

A STATE STATES

50

DATE September 18, 1982

# ACCELERATION

TOP SPEED

ACCELERATION

WIND D	DIRECTION	<u>290°</u>	TEMPI	ERATURE	60 <sup>0</sup>
	_ BEGINNIN	IG TIME	2:02		PM

# **TOP SPEED**

DATE September 18, 1982

**TEST LOCATION Chrysler Proving Grounds** 

# ACCELERATION

	6 mph	WIND DIRECTION	3300	TEMP	ERATURE	<u>61°</u>
WIND VELOCIT	Ford Fairmont	BEGINNING		2:35		Pl
MAKE & MODEL	(200-1V)	BEGINNING				

SPEEDS	TIME REQUIREMENT	RUN #1	RUN #2	RUN #3	RUN #4	AVERAGE
0-60		17.82	17.69	17.64	17.56	17.68
0 - 80		35.97	36.79	34.15	36.03	35.74
0-90		57.28	60.98	53.85	61.84	58.49

#### TOP SPEED

DISTANCE TO REACH 90 MPH MINIMUM 1.07 miles TOP SPEED ATTAINED 97.7 MPH

			ACCELERATI	ON					
/IND VE IAKE &	VELOCITY <u>4 mph</u> WIND DIRECTION <u>320°</u> TEMPERATURE <u>50°</u> & MODEL <u>Ford Fairmont</u> BEGINNING TIME <u>8:35</u> AM <sup>®</sup> (140-1V)								
SPEEDS	TIME REQUIREMENT	RUN #1	RUN #2	RUN #3	RUN #4	AVERAGE			
0-60		18.18	19.15	17.83	18.43	18.40			
0 - 80		41.17	44.36	40.05	42.20	41.95'			
0-90		67.70	100.85	65.92	99.79	83.57			

### TOP SPEED

DISTANCE TO REACH 90 MPH MINIMUM 1.65 miles TOP SPEED ATTAINED 95.8 \_\_MPH

## **TEST LOCATION Chrysler Proving Grounds**

WIND VELOCITY8 mphWIND DIRECTION330°TEMPERATURE62°MAKE & MODEL Canadian ChevroletBEGINNING TIME4:30PMImpala (350-4V)PM								
SPEEDS	TIME* REQUIREMENT	RUN #1	RUN #2	RUN #3	RUN #4	AVERAGE		
0 - 60		10.72	10,91	11.10	11.39	11.03		
0 - 80		19.36	20.01	19.82	20.95	20.04		
0-100		37.21	35.66	36.67	37.06	36.65		

WIND VELOCITY10 mphWIND DIRECTION280°TEMPERATURE60°MAKE & MODELCanadian ChevroletBEGINNING TIME3:39IMalibu (305-4V)Malibu (305-4V)I								
	SPEEDS	TIME* REQUIREMENT	RUN #1	a RUN #2	RUN #3	RUN #4	AVERAGE	
	Q - 60		11.67	11.62	11.43	11.62	11.59	
1	0 - 80		20.20	21.29	20.28	21.85	20.91	
	0-100		40.43	44.25	41.52	44.77	42.74	

\*Michigan State Police minimum requirements \*Vehicle reached a top speed of 107.1 mph. The top speed then steadily decreased due to mechanical difficulties.

52

# **ACCELERATION & TOP SPEED TESTS**

DATE September 18, 1982

# ACCELERATION

# TOP SPEED

DISTANCE TO REACH 100 MPH MINIMUM\_71 mile\_TOP SPEED ATTAINED\_107.1\* MPH

### ACCELERATION

### TOP SPEED

DISTANCE TO REACH 100 MPH MINIMUM .85 mile TOP SPEED ATTAINED 112.5 MPH

TEST LOCATION Chrysler Proving Grounds

54

DATE September 18, 1982

		ACCELERATION		
WIND VELOCITY	10 mph WIN	D DIRECTION270°	TEMPERATURE	62º
MAKE & MODEL	Canadian Plymouth	BEGINNING TIME	4:08	PM
	Caravelle (318-4V)			

SPEEDS	TIME* REQUIREMENT	RUN #1	RUN #2	RUN #3	RUN #4	AVERÅGE
0 - 60		12.44	12.08	11.82	12.22	12.14
0 - 80		22.76	22.41	21.18	22.08	22.11
0-100		43.74	42.31	38.98	44.77	42.45

# TOP SPEED

DISTANCE TO REACH 100 MPH MINIMUM .84 mile\_TOP SPEED ATTAINED\_ 113.6 MPH

# ACCELERATION

WIND VELOCITY _	WIND DIRECTION	TEMPERATURE	
MAKE & MODEL	 BEGINNING TIME		PI

SPEEDS	TIME* REQUIREMENT	RUN #1	RUN #2	RUN #3	RUN #4	AVERAGE
0-60						
0 - 80			9			
0-100						

TOP SPEED

DISTANCE TO REACH 100 MPH MINIMUM\_

\_TOP SPEED ATTAINED\_

\_MPH

\*Michigan State Police minimum requirements

# APPENDIX D

# BID ADJUSTMENT PROCEDURES

a new and the second and the second secon

W IS ANY SAME

The Michigan State Police Policy Development and Evaluation Section has established a formal procedure that is used to adjust the bid price of police patrol vehicles to reflect the relative performance of a given vehicle with respect to all vehicles that are tested and evaluated during the annual competitive bidding for vehicles. By policy, MSP limits the amount of the adjustment of five percent of the average bid price for each type of vehicle to be purchased (full or mid size).

The bid adjustment procedure relies upon standard statistical analysis of the scores (level of performance) achieved by each vehicle during the testing and evaluation of a variety of attributes that are critical to the MSP operational use of patrol vehicles. This is accomplished by (1) calculating the "Z" value for each specified evaluation factor (attri-bute), and (2) multiplying that resulting Z factor by a weighting factor to obtain a weighted Z(WTD Z). Specifically:

where:  $X_i$  = Score of specific vehicle for a given evaluation factor

factor

 $S = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (X_i - \overline{X})^2}$ and

Given that three vehicles have scores of 363, 248, and 289 for a particular evaluation factor, the calculation of Z follows the procedure below. It is easiest to set up the intermediate calculations using several columns.

Preceding page blank

# **BID ADJUSTMENT**

$$Z = \frac{X_{i} - \overline{X}}{S}.$$

 $\overline{X}$  = The mean of all vehicle scores for a given evaluation

$$\frac{i}{1} \qquad \frac{x_{i}}{363} \qquad \frac{x_{i} - \overline{x}}{63} \qquad \frac{(x_{i} - \overline{x})^{2}}{3969} \qquad \frac{Z = \frac{x_{i} - \overline{x}}{S}}{(63 \div 48) = 1.31}$$

$$\frac{2}{248} \qquad -52 \qquad 2704 \qquad (-52 \div 48) = -1.08$$

$$3 \qquad 289 \qquad -11 \qquad 121 \qquad (-11 \div 48) = -0.23$$

$$\frac{1}{3} \qquad \Sigma = 6794 \div 3 = 2265$$

$$\overline{x} = \frac{\Sigma x_{i}}{N} = 900 \div 3$$

$$\overline{x} = 300 \qquad S = \sqrt{2265} = 48$$

A

The value of Z for each score is then multiplied by the weighting factor, which ranges from 10 to 25 percent. For the weighting factor 10 percent, the weighted Z (WTD Z) for each of the above vehicle's scores is:

> $1.31 \times 0.10 = 0.131$  $-1.08 \times 0.10 = -0.108$  $-0.23 \times 0.10 = -0.023$

The above process is used to calculate the WTD Z factors for each vehicle evaluation factor, which are then added together to obtain the total WTD Z. The total WTD Z is then multiplied by the five percent bid adjustment (in -\$) to calculate the amount that the manufacturer's bid would be adjusted to reflect the scores of the vehicle during testing.

Dr. Noel C. Bule Nonthry Stan University Traine Institute Roy F. Carlson Nerional Highway Traffic Salary Administration Commission or Charles T. Colbb Federal Protective Service Dr. Mitcherel Greekiwood Federal Judicial Center . John Grow California Highway Paktol John W. Cunn, Jr. Drug Entoreement Administration Clevence Edward Hewakins ULS. Armament Research & Development Command Milke Hugkelow Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms Lit Coll Thomas II Johnson 🖌 Air Force Office of Sequility Police

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT DIMISION TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT PROGRAM INFORMATION CENTER (TAPO) an Darwick Executive Director Joseph L Contriley, Sentor Stalii Analyst

Norman Darwick, Executive Director R. D. Smith, Chief of Stelli, Operations Warren J. Woodlitald, Technical Staff Analyst James W. Stalling, Director, Research & Development Judith E. Schmitt, Assistant Sieff Anelyst Division and Protect Director

INATTIONYAL EWEREAW OF STANDARDS LAW ENFORCEMENT STANDARDS LABORATORY (LESU)

# TECHNOLOCY ASSESSMENT PROCEDUM (ADV/ISOFN/ (COUNCIL (TFAPAC))

Chief James P. Damos, Chenmen

Thomas F. Kellehér Feelenal Burgan for Investigation

Deputy Commissioner Guy IVercours Royal Canadian Mounted Police

Ohiel Herilin R. McEvrem Willege of Carrier Helefine Police Department

Devis Perail. FEI Acerclemy

Andrew H. Principe Northern Illinois Police Cuttre Leborctory

Chitali Howard L. Runiyon, St. .... Pesselle Township Police Dependment.

Mai Geni (Peul Mi Tinnerbere U.S. Anny Criminel Investigation Commend

James Webster Bureau of Prisons

RATIONAL INSTITUTE OF MOSTICE

· Jamas K. Staveri, Acting Dization, NW Paul Cascareno, Assistanti Otrector, NU Paul Cascareno, Assistanti Otrector, NU Paul Estayar, Director, Relevance & Otsemination Division, NU Lestar D. Shubin, Program Manager for Standards, NU

- Lewience K. Elleson, Chief, LIESL.

