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# Results of Michigan State Police 1987 Vehicle Tests 

Every year the Michigan State Police (MSP) test more than a dozen vehicles as part of their procurement policy. This year the testing was held on September 13 to 18 . Representatives from more than 50 departments and agencies in the U.S. and Canada attended. This TAP Alert contains the preiiminary results of the test. The full report is expected in early November.

The vehioles are subjected to severai ifferent types of tests and evaluations. The results are weighted to reflect the relative importance of each attribute as related to MSP operarional requirements. Table 1 lists the test and point scores.

|  |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Table ?. Tests and Scoring |  |
| Test | Eotnts |
| Yenizle dynamios | 30 |
| Acoeieration | 20 |
| Top speed | 20 |
| Brake testing | 10 |
| Ergonomics and oommunteation | 10 |
| Fuel economy | 12 |
|  | 100 |
|  |  |
|  |  |

The MSP caloulates each vehicle's overall score and adjusts the manufacturer's bid prices to reflect each venicle's performance.

It shouid be noted that the MSP vehicle specifications, test catagories, and scoring are unique to the needs of the MSP. Other departments who employ this or a similar method are urged to carefuliy consider their own needs and to alter the weighting factors accordingly.

With two exceptions, this year's testing was basically the same as that of previous years. First, this year the minimum acceleration criteria were tightened. Last year, the MSP found that all of the test vehicles that qualified in the acceleration test exceeded the minimum requirements for the $0-60,0-80$, and $0-100 \mathrm{mph}$ acceleration.

Hence, the minimum requirements this year are 10 percent greater than last year's lowest score. Second, in the top speed test, the distance vehicies were allowed to travel to reach 110 mph was tightened from 3 to 2 miles.

Table 2 lists the 1987 test vehicles. The vehilles are listed in aiphabetical order without regard to their performance on the tests. The MSP had planned to evaiuate the Ford Taurus, but it was unavailable for testing. It will probably be part of the 1988 tests. The Chevy Celebrity, which has been tested in the past, is no ionger offered in a polise package.

Table 2. Vohicles Tested

| Car | Engine ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ohevrolet Caprice | 5.7L (350 0.d) 4 BBL |
| Chevrolet Caprice | 4.3L (262 cid) 7 CBI |
| Chevrolet Caprige (Canadian) | 5.7L (350 cid) 4 BBL |
| Dodge Diplomat | 5.2L (318 c1d) 4 BBL |
| Ford Crown Viotoria | 5.8L (351 cid) WV H.O. |
| Ford Crown Victoria | 5.0L (302 cid) PFI |
| Ford Mustang (Automatic) | 5.0L (302 cid) PFI H.O. |
| Ford Mustang ( 5 Speed) | 5.0L (302 cid) PFI H.c. |
| Plymouth Gran Fury | $5.2 \mathrm{~L}(318 \mathrm{cId}) 4 \mathrm{BBL}$ |
| Plymouth Gran Fury | 5.2 L (318 cid) 2 BEL |
| Plymouth Reliant | 2.51 (153 cid) TBI |

aPFI = Port fuel infection
TBI = Throttle body injection
$V V=$ Variable venturi
BBL $=$ Barrel
H.O. = High output

## Vehicle Dynamics Testing

Objective: To determine high-speed pursuit handling characteristics. The course, a $1.635-\mathrm{mile}$ road racing type course, contains hills, curves, and corners. Except for the absence of traffic, the course simulates actual pursuit conditions. The evaluation measures the venicle's blending of suspension components, acceleration capabilities, and braking characteristics.

Methodology: Each vehicle is driven at least 15 timed laps by at least three drivers. The final score is the average of the fastest 12 timed laps.

Table 3 shows the results of the vehicle dynamics test.

## Acceleration and Top-Speed Testing

## Acceleration

Qualification Test Objective: To determine the ability of each vehicle to accelerate from a standing start to 60 mph within 12.9 seconds, 80 mph within 23.0 seconds, and 100 mph within 42.3 seconds.

Competitive Test Objective: To determine acceleration time to 100 mph .

Methodology: Using a fifth wheel with an electronic digital speed meter and an electronic multifunction timer, each vehicle is driven through four acceleration sequences--two northbound and two southbound to ailow for wind direction. The average of the four times is used to derive scores on the competitive test.

## Top Speed

Qualification Test Objective: To determine the vehicle's ability to reach 110 mph within 2 miles.

Competitive Test Objective: To determine the actual top speed obtained within 14 miles from a standing start.

Methodology: Following the fourth acceleration run, the vehicle continues to accelerate to the top speed attainable within 14 miles from the start of the run. The highest speed attained within the 14 miles is the vehicle's score on the competitive test.

Table 4 sumarizes the acceleration and top speed tests.

Readers can note that the Dodge Diplomat did not meet the minimum 23 seconds to accelerate to 80 moh, and therefore will not be considered by the MSP.

## Braking Test

Qualification Test Objective: To determine the acceptability of braking performance for pursuit service. The test evaluates brake fade and the ability of the vehicle to make a straight lock-up stop within its own lane.

Competitive Test Objective: To determine the deceleration rate on two 60 to 0 mph impending skid stops. Vehicles are scored on their average deceleration rate attained in comparison with the other vehicles in the test group.

Methodology: Each vehicle is first required to make four decelerations at 22 feet per second using a deceleration rate formula from 90 to 0 mph , with the driver using a decelerometer to maintain the deceleration rate. The vehicle then makes a 60 to 0 mph impending skid. The exact initial velocity at the beginning of the deceleration and the exact distance required to make the stop are recorded by means of a fifth wheel with electronic digital speed and distance meters. From these figures, the average deceleration rate for the stops is calculated. Following a 4 -minute cooling period, this sequence is repeated. The second sequence is followed by one 60 to 0 mph full four-wheel lock stop to determine both the ability of the brakes to lock and the ability of the vehicle to stop in a stradght line within its lane.

Table 5 shows the results of the braking test.

## Ergonomics and Comsunications

Objective: To rate the vehicle's ability to provide a suitable environment for patrol officers to perform their job, to accomrnodate the required communications and emergency warning equipment, and to assess the relative difficulty of installing the equipment.

Methodology: A minimum of four officers independently and individually score each vehicle on comfort and instrumentation. Personnel from the Radio Installation and Garage Units conduct the communications portion of the evaluation based on the relative difficulty of the necessary installations. Only one of each size vehicle is tested since the interior dimensions are essentially the same.

Each factor is graded on a one-to-ten scale with one representing totally unacceptable and ten representing superior. The scores are averaged to minimize personal prejudice.

Table 6 presents the results of ergonomic testing.

## Fuel Economy

Objective: To determine fuel economy potential. The scoring data are valid and reliable for comparison, but may not necessarily accurately predict the car's actual fuel economy.

Methodology: The vehicles will be scored based on estimates for city fuel economy to the nearest 1/10th mile per gallon developed from data supplied by the vehicle manufacturers.

Table 7 shows the estimated EPA fuel economy.

## Table 3. Results of Vehicle Dynamics Testing

| Vehicies | Drivers | Lap 1 | Lap 2 | Lap 3 | Lap 4 | Average* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Floate | 1:28.28 | 1:28.49 | 1:28.96 | 1:28.08 |  |
| Chevrolet | Ring | 1:28.14 | 1:28.30 | 1:28.51 | 1:28.48 |  |
| Caprice | Steendam | 1:28.56 | 1:28.83 | 1:28.66 | 1:28.15 |  |
| 350-4E3L | Halliday | 1:28.51 | 1:28,66 | 1:28.38 | 1:28.01 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1:28.32 |
|  | Floate | 1:29.14 | 1:29.74 | 1:29.74 | 1:30.11 |  |
| Dodge | Ring | 1:30.03 | 1:30.37 | 1:29.91 | 1:30.05 |  |
| Diplomat | Steendam | 1:30.07 | 1:29.97 | 1:30.33 | 1:29.99 |  |
| 5.24 V | Halliday | 1:30.63 | 1:30.81 | 1:30.49 | 1:31.11 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1:29.95 |
|  | Floate | 1:28.42 | 1:30.33 | 1:28.52 | 1:28.92 |  |
| Ford | Ring | 1:28.16 | 1:28.43 | 1:28.33 | 1:28.78 |  |
| Crown Vic. | Steendam | $1: 29.28$ | $1: 29.00$ | $1: 29.83$ | 1:29.39 |  |
|  | Halliday | $1: 28.07$ | $1: 28.59$ | $1: 28.66$ | $1: 29.15$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1:28.59 |
| Ford | Floate | 1:23.52 | 1:22.96 | 1:23.79. | 1:24.09 |  |
| Mustang | Ring | 1:22.96 | 1:22.84 | 1:23.33 | 1:23.99 |  |
| 302-PFI | Steendam | 1:24.15 | 1:24.67 | 1:24.47 | 1:24.77 |  |
| (Automatic) | Halliday | 1:24.55 | 1:25.02 | 1:25.02 | 1:25.26 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1:23.78 |
| Ford | Floate | 1:21.79 | 1:21.70 | 1:21.69 | 1:21.83 |  |
| Mustang | Ring | 1:22.53 | 1:22.23 | 1:22.38 | 1:22.39 |  |
| 302-PFI | Steendam | 1:22.89 | 1:22.97 | 1:22.79 | 1:22.66 |  |
| (5-Speed) | Halliday | 1:22.01 | 1:21.74 | 1:22.50 | 1:21.39 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1:32.02 |
|  | Floate | 1:29.91 | 1:29.71 | 1:30.06 | 1:29.79 |  |
| Plymouth | Ring | 1:29.64 | 1:29.87 | 1:30.17 | 1:29.81 |  |
|  | Steendam | 1:29.71 | 1:29.06 | 1:29.54 | 1:29.92 |  |
| 318-4BBL | Halliday | 1:30.59 | 1:30.75 | 1:31.50 | 1:31.21 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1:29.77 |
|  | Floate | 1:32.47 | 1:32.39 | 1:32.60 | 1:32.84 |  |
| Plymouth | Ring | 1:33.40 | 1:33.30 | 1:33.29 | 1:32.98 |  |
| Reliant | Steendam | 1:33.38 | 1:33.28 | 1:33.06 | 1:32.99 |  |
| 153-TBI | Halliday | 1:33.18 | 1:32.88 | 1:33.20 | 1:32.98 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1:32.90 |
| "Calculated from best 12 laps |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All times in minutes, seconds, and hundredths of a second, i.e., 1:28:32 = 1 minute, 28 seconds, and 32/100 of a second. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Table 4. Results of Acceleration and Top Speed Testing



Obtained from Strip Chart Recordings of Acceleration Runs

Table 5. Results of Braking Test

|  |  | Ford | Ford | Ford |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Chevrolet | Dodge | Crown | Mustang | Mustang | Plymouth | Plymouth |
| Caprice | Diplomat | Victoria | (Auto) | (5-Speed) | Gran Fury | Reliant |
| $5.7 \mathrm{~L}-4 \mathrm{BBi}_{\mathrm{I}}$ | $5.2 \mathrm{~L}-4 \mathrm{BBL}$ | $5.8 \mathrm{~L}-\mathrm{VV}$ | $5.0 \mathrm{~L}-\mathrm{PFI}$ | $5.0 \mathrm{~L}-\mathrm{PFI}$ | $5.2 \mathrm{~L}-4 \mathrm{BBL}$ | 2.5L-TBI |

Phase I

| Initial speed | (MPH) | 60.0 | 60.1 | 60.2 | 60.7 | 60.8 | 59.7 | 59.8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stopping distance | (ft) | 150.8 | 146.2 | 147.50 | 169.1 | 169.8 | 139.6 | 150.9 |
| Deceleration rate | (ft/sec ${ }^{2}$ ) | 25.68 | 26.57 | 26.43 | 23.44 | 23.42 | 27.46 | 25.49 |
| Phase II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Initial speed | (MPH) | 61.0 | 60.3 | 60.3 | 60.5 | 60.0 | 59.8 | 60.90 |
| Stopping distance | (ft) | 150.7 | 140.2 | 157.1 | 172.3 | 157.7 | 140.3 | 164.4 |
| Deceleration rate | $\left(\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}^{2}\right)$ | 26.56 | 27.90 | 24.89 | 22.85 | 24.55 | 27.42 | 24. |
| Average |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deceleration rate | $\left(\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}^{2}\right)$ | 26.12 | 27.24 | 25.66 | 23.14 | 23.99 | 27.44 | 24.88 |

Stopping distance from 60 MPH based on average deceleration rate (ft)

## Table 6. Ergonowics and Communications

| Ergonomics |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Front seat |  |  |
| Padding | 4.40 | 7.40 |
| Depth of bench | 7.00 | 6.60 |
| Angle of back | 6.60 | 6.20 |
| Adjustability (front to rear) | 6.80 | 6.00 |
| Upholstery | 6.50 | 7.40 |
| Split bench design | 7.00 | 7.20 |
| Headroom | 8.20 | 6.00 |
| Seat belts | 7.80 | 6.80 |
| Ease of entry and exit | 8.40 | 6.80 |
| Rear seat |  |  |
| Legroom (front seat in rearward position) | 6.20 | 4.60 |
| Ease of entry and exit | 5.80 | 4.80 |
| Instrumentation |  |  |
| Clarity | 7.00 | 7.60 |
| Placement | 7.40 | 7.60 |
| Vehicle controls |  |  |
| Pedals, size and position | 8.00 | 6.40 |
| Position of window crank | 6.60 | 7.00 |
| Position of inside door release | 7.60 | 7.20 |
| Position of automatic door lock switch | 8.00 | 4.50 |
| Position of outside rearview mirror controls | 7.60 | 5.40 |
| Steering wheel, size/tilt release/surface | 8.40 | 8.40 |
| Heater A-C vent placement and adjustability | 6.60 | 7.60 |
| Auxiliary dome/map light placement/visibility | 8.00 | 6.50 |
| Visibility |  |  |
| Front | 8.60 | 8.20 |
| Rear | 8.20 | 7.60 |
| Left rear quarter | 7.60 | 7.80 |
| Aight rear quarter | 7.60 | 7.20 |
| Outside rearview mirrors | 7.40 | 6.80 |
| Cormunications |  |  |
| - Dash accessibility | 7.80 | 7.40 |
| Trunk accessibility | 9.20 | 8.60 |
| Engine accessibility | 9.00 | 8.30 |
| Totals | 215.30 | 199.90 |

\# Only one of each size vehicle was tested since the interiors are essentially the same.

Table 7. Fuel Economy

| Vehicles Make/Model | City* ${ }^{\text {EPA }}$ | Miles Per Highway | Gallon Combined |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| * Chevrolet Caprice (4.3L) 262 cid TBI | 18 (18.3) | 27 | 21 |
| ${ }^{\text {P }}$ Chevrolet Caprice (5.7L) 350 cid 4V | 14 (13.9) | 20 | 16 |
| Dodge Diplomat (5.2L) 318 cid 4V | 13 (12.7) | 15 | 14 |
| Ford Crown Victoria (5.0L) 302 cid PFI | 17 (17.5) | 27 | 21 |
| M Ford Crom Victoria (5.8L) 351 cid VV | 13 (12.9) | 18 | 15 |
| Ford Mustang (Automatic) (5.0L) 302 cid PFI | 18 (17.6) | 27 | 21 |
| * FFord Mustang (5 Speed) (5.0L) 302 cid PFI | 16 (16.4) | 25 | 19 |
| Plymouth Gran Fury (5.2L) 318 cid 2V | 15 (14.8) | 17 | 16 |
| Plymouth Gran Fury (5.2L) 318 cid 4V | 13 (12.7) | 15 | 14 |
| Plymouth Reliant (2.5L) 153 cid TBI | 21 (21.2) | 26 | 23 |
| Scored on city mileage only to the nearest $1 / 10$ M.P.G. |  |  |  |
| geprojected figures-not certified by E.P.A. at time of | cation. |  |  |

The Technology Assessment Program is supported by Grant $\# 85-I J-C X-K 040$ awarded by the National Institute of Justice, U.S. Department of Justice. Analyses of test results do not represent product approval or endorsement by the National Institute of Justice; the National Bureau of Standards, the U.S. Department of Comerce; Aspen Systems Corporation; or the laboratories that conduct the equipment testing.

If you would like a copy of the full report when it is available, call the Technology Assessment Program Information Center at 800-248-2742, or 301-251-5060 in Maryland and Metropolitan Washington, D.C.

